arXiv:1604.02225v2 [math.RT] 20 Dec 2016

Contragredient Lie algebras and Lie algebras associated with a standard pentad [1]

Nagatoshi SASANO

Abstract

We will construct standard pentads which are analogues of Cartan subalgebras, and moreover, we will study graded Lie algebras corresponding to these standard pentads. We call such pentads pentads of Cartan type and describe them by two positive integers and three matrices. The structures of their corresponding Lie algebras are related with contragredient Lie algebras.

Introduction

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ be a pentad which consists of a finite or infinite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, a representation ρ of $\mathfrak{g}$ on a finite or infinite-dimensional vector space V, a submodule $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathrm{Hom}(V, \mathbb{C})$ and a non-degenerate invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ all defined over $\mathbb{C}$. When the restriction of the canonical pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times \mathrm{Hom}(V, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ to $V \times \mathcal{V}$ is non-degenerate and there exists a linear map $\Phi_\rho : V \otimes \mathcal{V} \to \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying $B_0(a, \Phi_\rho(v \otimes \phi)) = \langle \rho(a \otimes v), \phi \rangle$, we say that $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ is a standard pentad. For a standard pentad $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$, there exists a graded Lie algebra $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$, called the Lie algebra associated with a standard pentad, such that the components V_0, V_1, V_{-1} are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}, V, \mathcal{V}$ respectively ([11, Theorem 2.15]). That is, we can embed a given Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and its representation V into some graded Lie algebra when there exists a $\mathfrak{g}$-submodule $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathrm{Hom}(V, \mathbb{C})$ and a non-degenerate invariant bilinear form B_0 on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ is standard.

In general, it is difficult to find the structure of $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ by a direct computation. On the other hand, from some special pentads, we can obtain some well-known Lie algebras using general theory of Lie algebras. For example, finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebras and loop algebras correspond to some standard pentads. A finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra can be obtained from a reductive Lie algebra and its finite-dimensional completely reducible representation called a prehomogeneous vector space of parabolic type (due to H. Rubenthaler, see [6] or [7]).

[1] **2010 Mathematic Subjects Classification**: Primary 17B67 Secondary 17B65, 17B70
Keywords and phrases: contragredient Lie algebras, Kac-Moody Lie algebras, Cartan matrices, standard pentads

contragredient Lie algebras

It is well-known that a semisimple Lie algebra is obtained from a finite-dimensional commutative Lie algebra, called a Cartan subalgebra, and a fundamental root system[2]. This construction has been generalized to infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. In 1968, V. Kac defined the notion of contragredient Lie algebras in [5]. They are (in general) infinite-dimensional Lie algebras generated by $3n$ elements and relations of Lie brackets among them induced by a square matrix called a Cartan matrix. His theory has been evolved by many mathematicians, and it is called the theory of Kac-Moody Lie algebras today.

The aim of this paper is to consider an analogue of the theory of contragredient Lie algebras on the theory of Lie algebras associated with a standard pentad. In this paper, we shall consider "Cartan subalgebra like" standard pentads $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ and the corresponding Lie algebras. Precisely, we shall study standard pentads $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ such that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is finite-dimensional and commutative and that the representation (ρ, V) is finite-dimensional and diagonalizable. We call such pentads pentads of Cartan type. A pentad of Cartan type is written by two positive integers and three matrices. Some properties of the corresponding Lie algebra is also written by these data. If we take a contragredient Lie algebra associated to an invertible Cartan matrix, then we can construct it from some pentads of Cartan type. This is the first main result. Moreover, we can construct a finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebra from some pentad of Cartan type. It means that we can use some results of standard pentads to the structure theory of finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebras and contragredient Lie algebras. As a remarkable result of the theory of standard pentads, we have "chain rule of standard pentads", which is a kind of isomorphisms of Lie algebras associated with a standard pentad. Applying this "chain rule", we can compute the structure of the Lie algebra $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ in special cases where $\mathfrak{g}$ is finite-dimensional reductive and ρ is also finite-dimensional completely reducible with "full-scalar multiplications". This is the second main result.

This paper consists of three sections.

In section 1, the author introduces the notion and some properties of standard pentads and of corresponding Lie algebras briefly. Moreover, we shall expand and give some new results on standard pentads which will be used later. In particular, "chain rule of standard pentads" (Theorem 1.17) will be frequently used in section 3.

In section 2, we shall define the notion of pentads of Cartan type. As I mentioned before, this is a class of standard pentads which contains a finite-dimensional commutative Lie algebra and its finite-dimensional diagonalizable representation. That is, the notion of pentads of Cartan type is an analogue of Cartan subalgebras of finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebras. A pentad of Cartan type is written by the following data: two positive integers r, n and three matrices $A \in \mathrm{M}(r, r; \mathbb{C})$, $D \in \mathrm{M}(r, n; \mathbb{C})$, $\Gamma \in \mathrm{M}(n, n; \mathbb{C})$ (Definition 2.4). Some fundamental properties of a pentad of Cartan type, and ones of the corresponding Lie algebra, are described by the properties of these

[2]The canonical representation of a Cartan subalgebra on a direct sum of the root spaces of fundamental roots is a special case of prehomogeneous vector spaces of parabolic type.

data r, n, A, D, Γ. In particular, the rank of D and a matrix defined by $C(A, D, \Gamma) = \Gamma \cdot {}^tD \cdot A \cdot D$ play very important roles in this paper. We call a matrix of the form $C(A, D, \Gamma)$ the "Cartan matrix of a pentad of Cartan type" (Definition 2.15) and call a pentad of Cartan type with invertible Cartan matrix a regular pentad of Cartan type (Definition 2.16). The Cartan matrix of a pentad of Cartan type plays similar roles to the Cartan matrix of contragredient Lie algebras (Proposition 2.13).

In section 3, we shall study the structure of Lie algebras associated with a pentad of Cartan type (shortly, PC Lie algebras). These Lie algebras are in general infinite-dimensional. In particular cases where a pentad of Cartan type is regular, then the corresponding Lie algebra is a direct sum of center part and a contragredient Lie algebra associated to the same Cartan matrix (Theorem 3.9). Conversely, a contragredient Lie algebra with an invertible symmetrizable Cartan matrix is constructed from a regular pentad of Cartan type (Theorem 3.11). Using chain rule of standard pentads (Theorem 1.17) to these Lie algebras, we can show that a Lie algebra constructed with a PC Lie algebra and its representation is again a PC Lie algebra (Theorem 3.21). Moreover, by adding scalar multiplications, we can embed a contragredient Lie algebra with an invertible Cartan matrix and its "lowest weight module" (or a sum of them) into some contragredient Lie algebra (Lemma 3.24). In particular, a finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebra (Theorem 3.27) and its completely reducible finite-dimensional representation with full scalar multiplications can be embedded into some contragredient Lie algebra with an invertible Cartan matrix. We can find the structure of such a contragredient Lie algebra by a computation of matrices (Theorem 3.28).

Notion and notations

Throughout of this paper, we use the following notion and notations.

Notation 0.1.
- $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{C}$: the set of integers and the set of complex numbers,
- $\mathrm{M}(n, m; \mathbb{C})$: the set of all matrices of size $n \times m$ whose entries belong to $\mathbb{C}$,
- $A \cdot A'$: a product of matrices A and A' when it makes sense,
- ${}^tA$: the transpose matrix of A,
- I_n, O_n: the unit matrix and the zero matrix of size n respectively,
- $\mathrm{diag}(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$: a diagonal matrix of size n whose (i, i)-coefficient is c_i,
- $\delta_{n,m}$: the Kronecker delta.

Throughout this paper, all objects are defined over $\mathbb{C}$.

Notation 0.2. In this paper, we regard a representation π of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$ on U as a linear map $\mathfrak{l} \otimes U \to U$ satisfying the following equation

$$\pi([a, b] \otimes u) = \pi(a \otimes \pi(b \otimes u)) - \pi(b \otimes \pi(a \otimes u)) \tag{0.1}$$

for any $a, b \in \mathfrak{l}$ and $u \in U$. Moreover, we denote an ideal of $\mathfrak{l}$ defined by $\{a \in \mathfrak{l} \mid \pi(a \otimes u) = 0 \quad \text{for any } u \in U\}$ by $\operatorname{Ann} U$. When a representation (π, U) satisfies a condition that $\operatorname{Ann} U = \{0\}$, we say that π is faithful.

In this paper, we use terms "gradation" and "graded" in the following senses.

Definition 0.3 (graded Lie algebras, [4, p.1274, Definition 1])**.** A decomposition of a Lie algebra G into a direct sum of subspaces:

$$G = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} G_i, \tag{0.2}$$

with the following properties is said to be a gradation of G:

- $[G_i, G_j] \subset G_{i+j}$.

In particular, we do not assume that the components G_i are finite-dimensional (cf. [4, p.1274, Definition 1]). A Lie algebra G with the gradation (0.2) will be called graded when the following holds:

- $G_{-1} \oplus G_0 \oplus G_1$ generates G.

Definition 0.4 (positively (negatively) graded modules, [12, Definition 0.1])**.** A module (π, U) of a graded Lie algebra $G = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} G_i$ is called a positively graded module (respectively negatively graded module) if

$$U = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} U_i \quad (\text{respectively } U = \bigoplus_{i \leq 0} V_i) \quad (\text{direct sum of subspaces})$$

and

$$\pi(G_j \otimes U_i) \subset U_{i+j}.$$

For $U \neq \{0\}$, reindexing the subscripts if necessary, we always assume that $U_0 \neq \{0\}$, U_0 being a G_0-module called the base (respectively top) space of U.

Definition 0.5 (transitivity of positively (negatively) graded modules, [12, Definition 1.1])**.** We retain to use the notation of Definition 0.4. A positively (respectively negatively) graded module U is transitive if $\pi\left(\left(\bigoplus_{i \leq -1} G_i\right) \otimes u\right) = \{0\}$ implies $u \in U_0$ (respectively $\pi\left(\left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} G_i\right) \otimes u\right) = \{0\}$ implies $u \in U_0$).

Definition 0.6 (transitivity, [4, p.1275, Definition 2])**.** A graded Lie algebra

$$G = \bigoplus_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} G_i$$

is said to be transitive if:

- for $x \in G_i$, $i \geq 0$, $[x, G_{-1}] = 0$ implies $x = 0$,
- for $x \in G_i$, $i \leq 0$, $[x, G_1] = 0$ implies $x = 0$.

1 Standard pentads and corresponding graded Lie algebras

1.1 A Lie algebra associated with a standard pentad

In this section, we aim to introduce the theory of standard pentads and an expansion of it (see [11] for detail). The theory of standard pentads starts with the definition of Φ-map of a pentad $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$.

Definition 1.1 (Φ-map, [11, Definition 2.1])**.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a non-zero Lie algebra with non-degenerate invariant bilinear form B_0, $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \otimes V \to V$ a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on a vector space V and $\mathcal{V}$ a $\mathfrak{g}$-submodule of $\mathrm{Hom}(V, \mathbb{C})$ all defined over $\mathbb{C}$. We denote the canonical pairing between V and $\mathrm{Hom}(V, \mathbb{C})$ by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ by ϱ. Then, if a pentad $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ has a linear map $\Phi_\rho : V \otimes \mathcal{V} \to \mathfrak{g}$ which satisfies an equation

$$B_0(a, \Phi_\rho(v \otimes \phi)) = \langle \rho(a \otimes v), \phi \rangle = -\langle v, \varrho(a \otimes \phi) \rangle \tag{1.1}$$

for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, $v \in V$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{V}$, we call it a Φ-map of the pentad $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$.

An arbitrary pentad might not have a Φ-map. However, from the assumption that B_0 is non-degenerate, we have that if a pentad $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ has a Φ-map, then its Φ-map is determined by the equation (1.1) uniquely.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ be a pentad and assume that it has a Φ-map. Then the orthogonal space of $\Phi_\rho(V \otimes \mathcal{V})$, which is the image of the Φ-map, in $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to B_0 coincides with $\mathrm{Ann}\, V$, i.e.*

$$\Phi_\rho(V \otimes \mathcal{V})^\perp = \{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid B_0(a, \Phi_\rho(V \otimes \mathcal{V})) = \{0\}\} = \mathrm{Ann}\, V.$$

In particular, if the vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ is finite-dimensional, then we have an equation

$$\dim \mathrm{Ann}\, V + \dim \Phi_\rho(V \otimes \mathcal{V}) = \dim \mathfrak{g}.$$

Proof. Take an arbitrary element $a \in \Phi_\rho(V \otimes \mathcal{V})^\perp$. Then, for any element $v \in V$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{V}$, we have

$$0 = B_0(a, \Phi_\rho(v \otimes \phi)) = \langle \rho(a \otimes v), \phi \rangle. \tag{1.2}$$

Since the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{C}$ is non-degenerate, we have that $\rho(a \otimes v) = 0$ for any $v \in V$. It means that $a \in \mathrm{Ann}\, V$. Thus, we have obtained that $\Phi_\rho(V \otimes \mathcal{V})^\perp \subset \mathrm{Ann}\, V$. We can show the converse inclusion by a similar argument. ∎

Under these notations, we can give the definition of standard pentads.

Definition 1.3 (standard pentads, [11, Definition 2.2])**.** We retain to use the notations of Definition 1.1. If a pentad $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ satisfies the following conditions, we call it a *standard pentad*:

SP1: the restriction of the canonical pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : V\times\mathrm{Hom}(V,\mathbb{C})\to\mathbb{C}$ to $V\times\mathcal{V}$ is non-degenerate,

SP2: there exists a Φ-map $\Phi_\rho : V\otimes\mathcal{V}\to\mathfrak{g}$.

Whenever vector spaces $\mathfrak{g}$ and V are finite-dimensional, any pentad $(\mathfrak{g},\rho,V,\mathrm{Hom}(V,\mathbb{C}),B_0)$ is always standard (see [11, Lemma 2.3]). Even if $\mathfrak{g}$ and (ρ,V) have $\mathfrak{g}$-submodule $\mathcal{V}\subset\mathrm{Hom}(V,\mathbb{C})$ and a bilinear form B_0 such that $(\mathfrak{g},\rho,V,\mathcal{V},B_0)$ is standard, other pentad $(\mathfrak{g},\rho,V,\mathcal{V}',B_0')$ might not be standard (see [11, Example 2.6]).

For a standard pentad, we can construct a graded Lie algebra such that the Lie algebra and representations in the given pentad can be embedded into it.

Theorem 1.4 (Lie algebras associated with a standard pentad, [11, Theorem 2.15])**.** *For an arbitrary standard pentad $(\mathfrak{g},\rho,V,\mathcal{V},B_0)$, there exists a graded Lie algebra $L(\mathfrak{g},\rho,V,\mathcal{V},B_0)=\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}V_n$ such that*

$$V_{-1}\simeq\mathcal{V},\quad V_0\simeq\mathfrak{g},\quad V_1\simeq V \tag{1.3}$$

as Lie modules and that the restricted bracket product $[\cdot,\cdot]: V_1\otimes V_{-1}\to V_0$ is identified with the Φ-map of $(\mathfrak{g},\rho,V,\mathcal{V},B_0)$ under the identification of (1.3). We call this graded Lie algebra $L(\mathfrak{g},\rho,V,\mathcal{V},B_0)$ a Lie algebra associated with a standard pentad.

Graded Lie algebras of the form $L(\mathfrak{g},\rho,V,\mathcal{V},B_0)=\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}V_n$ have properties that

- for $x\in V_n$, $n\geq 2$, $[x,V_{-1}]=0$ implies $x=0$,
- for $x\in V_n$, $n\leq -2$, $[x,V_1]=0$ implies $x=0$

since each V_n ($|n|\geq 2$) is regarded as a submodule of $\mathrm{Hom}(V_{-1},V_{n-1})$ or $\mathrm{Hom}(V_1,V_{-n+1})$ (see [11, Definition 2.9]). Roughly speaking, a graded Lie algebra of the form $L(\mathfrak{g},\rho,V,\mathcal{V},B_0)=\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}V_n$ has "transitivity" for $|n|\geq 2$. We can characterize such graded Lie algebras using this "transitivity".

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\mathfrak{L}=\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{L}_n$ be a graded Lie algebra. Assume that there exists a bilinear form $B_{\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}}$ on the local part $\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}=\mathfrak{L}_{-1}\oplus\mathfrak{L}_0\oplus\mathfrak{L}_1$ of $\mathfrak{L}$. If $\mathfrak{L}$ and $B_{\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}}$ satisfy the following conditions, then a pentad $(\mathfrak{L}_0,\mathrm{ad},\mathfrak{L}_1,\mathfrak{L}_{-1},B_{\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}}\,|_{\mathfrak{L}_0\times\mathfrak{L}_0})$ is standard and $\mathfrak{L}$ is isomorphic to the corresponding Lie algebra $L(\mathfrak{L}_0,\mathrm{ad},\mathfrak{L}_1,\mathfrak{L}_{-1},B_{\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}}\,|_{\mathfrak{L}_0\times\mathfrak{L}_0})$:*

(i) *$\mathfrak{L}_{i+1}=[\mathfrak{L}_1,\mathfrak{L}_i]$, $\mathfrak{L}_{-i-1}=[\mathfrak{L}_{-1},\mathfrak{L}_{-i}]$ for all $i\geq 1$,*

(ii) *the restriction of $B_{\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}}$ to $\mathfrak{L}_i\times\mathfrak{L}_{-i}$ is non-degenerate and $\mathfrak{L}_0$-invariant for $i=0,1$,*

(iii) *it holds an equation that $B_{\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}}(a,[x,y])=B_{\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}}([a,x],y)$ for any $a\in\mathfrak{L}_0$, $x\in\mathfrak{L}_1$, $y\in\mathfrak{L}_{-1}$,*

(iv) *for $x\in\mathfrak{L}_i$, $i\geq 2$, $[x,\mathfrak{L}_{-1}]=0$ implies $x=0$,*

(v) *for* $x \in \mathfrak{L}_i$, $i \leq -2$, $[x, \mathfrak{L}_1] = 0$ *implies* $x = 0$

where ad *stands for the adjoint representation of* $\mathfrak{L}$ *on itself.*

Proof. First of all, note that a graded Lie algebra of the form $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0) = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V_n$ and a bilinear form $\widehat{B_0}$ on $\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus V = V_{-1} \oplus V_0 \oplus V_1$ defined by

$$\widehat{B_0}(x_i, y_j) = \begin{cases} B_0(x_i, y_j) & (i = j = 0) \\ \langle x_i, y_j \rangle & (i = 1, j = -1) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases},$$

where $i, j = 0, \pm 1,\ x_i \in V_i,\ y_j \in V_j$, satisfy the conditions from (i) to (v).

If we assume that the graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_n$ and the bilinear form $B_{\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}}$ satisfies the conditions from (i) to (v), then the pentad $(\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathrm{ad}, \mathfrak{L}_1, \mathfrak{L}_{-1}, B_{\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}} \mid_{\mathfrak{L}_0\times\mathfrak{L}_0})$ is standard. Indeed, from the condition (ii), the $\mathfrak{L}_0$-module $\mathfrak{L}_{-1}$ can be regarded as a submodule of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{L}_1, \mathbb{C})$ via the non-degenerate pairing $B_{\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}} \mid_{\mathfrak{L}_1\times\mathfrak{L}_{-1}}$. Moreover, from the condition (iii), we can regard the restricted bracket product $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_{-1} \to \mathfrak{L}_0$ as the Φ-map of $(\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathrm{ad}, \mathfrak{L}_1, \mathfrak{L}_{-1}, B_{\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}} \mid_{\mathfrak{L}_0\times\mathfrak{L}_0})$. Thus, we have that the pentad $(\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathrm{ad}, \mathfrak{L}_1, \mathfrak{L}_{-1}, B_{\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}} \mid_{\mathfrak{L}_0\times\mathfrak{L}_0})$ is standard and that there corresponds to a graded algebra $L(\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathrm{ad}, \mathfrak{L}_1, \mathfrak{L}_{-1}, B_{\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}} \mid_{\mathfrak{L}_0\times\mathfrak{L}_0}) = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V_n$.

Let us construct an isomorphism of graded Lie algebras between the graded Lie algebras $\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_n$ and $L(\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathrm{ad}, \mathfrak{L}_1, \mathfrak{L}_{-1}, B_{\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}} \mid_{\mathfrak{L}_0\times\mathfrak{L}_0}) = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V_n$. Take an isomorphism of local Lie algebras $\widehat{\sigma} : \mathfrak{L}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{L}_1 \to V_{-1} \oplus V_0 \oplus V_1$ and let $\sigma_i : \mathfrak{L}_i \to V_i$ $(i = 0, \pm 1)$ be the restriction of $\widehat{\sigma}$ to $\mathfrak{L}_i$ for $i = 0, \pm 1$. Then, we have the following equations:

$$\sigma_i(\mathfrak{L}_i) = V_i \quad (i = 0, \pm 1), \quad \sigma_{i+j}([x_i, y_j]) = [\sigma_i(x_i), \sigma_j(y_j)] \quad (-1 \leq i, j, i + j \leq 1, x_i \in \mathfrak{L}_i, y_j \in \mathfrak{L}_j).$$

We can extend the isomorphism $\widehat{\sigma}$ on the whole graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_n$ as follows.

Define a linear map $\sigma_2 : \mathfrak{L}_2 = [\mathfrak{L}_1, \mathfrak{L}_1] \to V_2$ (note the condition (i)) by

$$\sigma_2([x_1, y_1]) := [\sigma_1(x_1), \sigma_1(y_1)] \in V_2 \subset \mathrm{Hom}(V_{-1}, V_1) \quad \text{for } x_1, y_1 \in \mathfrak{L}_1.$$

This linear map σ_2 is well-defined. In fact, if we have $\sum_{s=1}^{l} [x_1^s, y_1^s] = 0$ for some $l \geq 1$ and $x_1^1, \ldots, x_1^l, y_1^1, \ldots, y_1^l \in \mathfrak{L}_1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma_{-1}(\xi_{-1}), \sum_{s=1}^{l} [\sigma_1(x_1^s), \sigma_1(y_1^s)]] &= \sum_{s=1}^{l} ([[\sigma_{-1}(\xi_{-1}), \sigma_1(x_1^s)], \sigma_1(y_1^s)] + [\sigma_1(x_1^s), [\sigma_{-1}(\xi_{-1}), \sigma_1(y_1^s)]]) \\ = \sum_{s=1}^{l} \sigma_1([[\xi_{-1}, x_1^s], y_1^s] + [x_1^s, [\xi_{-1}, y_1^s]]) &= \sigma_1([\xi_{-1}, \sum_{s=1}^{l} [x_1^s, y_1^s]]) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

for any $\xi_{-1} \in \mathfrak{L}_{-1}$. Since σ_{-1} is bijective, it means that the linear map σ_2 is well-defined. Conversely, if we have $\sum_{s=1}^{l} [\sigma_1(x_1^s), \sigma_1(y_1^s)] = 0$ for some $l \geq 1$ and $x_1^1, \ldots, x_1^l, y_1^1, \ldots, y_1^l \in \mathfrak{L}_1$, then

we have

$$\sigma_1([\xi_{-1}, \sum_{s=1}^{l}[x_1^s, y_1^s]]) = 0$$

by the same calculation with (1.4). From the assumptions that $\sigma_1 : \mathfrak{L}_1 \to V_1$ is injective and that $\mathfrak{L}$ satisfies the condition (iv), we have $\sum_{s=1}^{l}[x_1^s, y_1^s] = 0$. Thus, the linear map σ_2 is injective. Moreover, from the facts that $\sigma_1(\mathfrak{L}_1) = V_1$ and that $[V_1, V_1] = V_2$, we can easily show that σ_2 is surjective. By the same calculation with (1.4), we have equations

$$\sigma_1([\xi_{-1}, x_2]) = [\sigma_{-1}(\xi_{-1}), \sigma_2(x_2)], \quad \sigma_2([a_0, x_2]) = [\sigma_0(a_0), \sigma_2(x_2)]$$

for any $a_0 \in \mathfrak{L}_0$, $\xi_{-1} \in \mathfrak{L}_{-1}$ and $x_2 \in \mathfrak{L}_2$.

By repeating similar arguments, we can obtain linear isomorphisms $\sigma_i : \mathfrak{L}_i \to V_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_{i-1}([\xi_{-1}, z_i]) = [\sigma_{-1}(\xi_{-1}), \sigma_i(z_i)], \quad \sigma_i([a_0, z_i]) = [\sigma_0(a_0), \sigma_i(z_i)], \\
&\sigma_{i+1}([x_1, z_i]) = [\sigma_1(x_1), \sigma_i(z_i)]
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\xi_{-1} \in \mathfrak{L}_{-1}$, $a_0 \in \mathfrak{L}_0$, $x_1 \in \mathfrak{L}_1$ and $z_i \in \mathfrak{L}_i$ inductively. Then we can define a linear isomorphism $\sigma : \mathfrak{L} \to L(\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathrm{ad}, \mathfrak{L}_1, \mathfrak{L}_{-1}, B_{\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}}\,|_{\mathfrak{L}_0 \times \mathfrak{L}_0})$ by

$$\sigma(z_i) := \sigma_i(z_i)$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $z_i \in \mathfrak{L}_i$. Then the linear map σ satisfies equations

$$\sigma(\mathfrak{L}_n) = V_n, \quad \sigma([x, y]) = [\sigma(x), \sigma(y)]$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x, y \in \mathfrak{L}$. It means that the linear map σ is an isomorphism of graded Lie algebras between $\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_n$ and $L(\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathrm{ad}, \mathfrak{L}_1, \mathfrak{L}_{-1}, B_{\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}}\,|_{\mathfrak{L}_0 \times \mathfrak{L}_0}) = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V_n$. Thus, we have our claim. ∎

In particular, for a standard pentad, there exists a unique graded Lie algebra satisfying the conditions from (i) to (v) up to isomorphism.

Definition 1.6 (equivalent pentads, [11, Definition 2.22])**.** Let $(\mathfrak{g}^i, \rho^i, V^i, \mathcal{V}^i, B_0^i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be standard pentads. We say that the pentads $(\mathfrak{g}^i, \rho^i, V^i, \mathcal{V}^i, B_0^i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ are equivalent if and only if there exist linear isomorphisms $\tau : \mathfrak{g}^1 \to \mathfrak{g}^2$, $\sigma : V^1 \to V^2$, $\varsigma : \mathcal{V}^1 \to \mathcal{V}^2$ and a non-zero element $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(\rho^1(a^1 \otimes v^1)) = \rho^2(\tau(a^1) \otimes \sigma(v^1)), && \varsigma(\varrho^1(a^1 \otimes \phi^1)) = \varrho^2(\tau(a^1) \otimes \varsigma(\phi^1)), \\
&B_0^1(a^1, b^1) = cB_0^2(\tau(a^1), \tau(b^1)), && \langle v^1, \phi^1\rangle^1 = \langle \sigma(v^1), \varsigma(\phi^1)\rangle^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

where ϱ is the representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ (see Definition 1.1), for any $a^1, b^1 \in \mathfrak{g}^1, v^1 \in V^1, \phi^1 \in \mathcal{V}^1$.

Proposition 1.7 ([11, Proposition 2.24]). *If pentads* $(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, \mathcal{V}^1, B_0^1)$ *and* $(\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, \mathcal{V}^2, B_0^2)$ *are standard and equivalent to each other, then the Lie algebras associated with them are isomorphic as graded Lie algebras, i.e. we have an isomorphism of graded Lie algebras:*

$$L(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, \mathcal{V}^1, B_0^1) \simeq L(\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, \mathcal{V}^2, B_0^2).$$

Definition 1.8 (direct sum, [11, Definition 2.26]). Let $(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, \mathcal{V}^1, B_0^1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, \mathcal{V}^2, B_0^2)$ be standard pentads. Let $\rho^1 \boxplus \rho^2$ and $\varrho^1 \boxplus \varrho^2$ be representations of $\mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2$ on $V^1 \oplus V^2$ and $\mathcal{V}^1 \oplus \mathcal{V}^2$ defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}(\rho^1 \boxplus \rho^2)((a^1, a^2) \otimes (v^1, v^2)) &:= (\rho^1(a^1 \otimes v^1), \rho^2(a^2 \otimes v^2)),\\ (\varrho^1 \boxplus \varrho^2)((b^1, b^2) \otimes (\phi^1, \phi^2)) &:= (\varrho^1(b^1 \otimes \phi^1), \varrho^2(b^2 \otimes \phi^2))\end{aligned}$$

where $a^i, b^i \in \mathfrak{g}^i$, $v^i \in V^i$, $\phi^i \in \mathcal{V}^i$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Let $B_0^1 \oplus B_0^2$ be a bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2$ defined by:

$$(B_0^1 \oplus B_0^2)((a^1, a^2), (b^1, b^2)) := B_0^1(a^1, b^1) + B_0^2(a^2, b^2) \tag{1.6}$$

where $a^i, b^i \in \mathfrak{g}^i$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Then, clearly, a pentad $(\mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^1 \boxplus \rho^2, V^1 \oplus V^2, \mathcal{V}^1 \oplus \mathcal{V}^2, B_0^1 \oplus B_0^2)$ is also a standard pentad. We call it a *direct sum* of $(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, \mathcal{V}^1, B_0^1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, \mathcal{V}^2, B_0^2)$ and denote it by $(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, \mathcal{V}^1, B_0^1) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, \mathcal{V}^2, B_0^2)$.

Proposition 1.9 ([11, Proposition 2.27]). *Let* $(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, \mathcal{V}^1, B_0^1)$ *and* $(\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, \mathcal{V}^2, B_0^2)$ *be standard pentads. Then the Lie algebra* $L((\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, \mathcal{V}^1, B_0^1) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, \mathcal{V}^2, B_0^2))$ *is isomorphic to* $L(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, \mathcal{V}^1, B_0^1) \oplus L(\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, \mathcal{V}^2, B_0^2)$.

Proposition 1.10 (cf. [9, Proposition 3.4.3]). *For a standard pentad* $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$*, we consider the following conditions:*

(i) *both the representations* $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \otimes V \to V$ *and* $\varrho : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ *of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *are faithful and surjective,*

(ii) *the corresponding graded Lie algebra* $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ *is transitive.*

The condition (i) *implies* (ii)*. Moreover, when* V *and* $\mathcal{V}$ *are finite-dimensional, the conditions* (i) *and* (ii) *are equivalent.*

Proof. We can prove this claim by a similar argument in [9, Proposition 3.4.3]. ∎

For a given standard pentad $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$, we can construct positively or negatively graded $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$-modules from $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. The following is a special case of [12, Theorem 1.2].

Theorem 1.11 ([11, Theorems 3.12, 3.14, 3.17]). *Let* $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ *be a standard pentad and* U *a* $\mathfrak{g}$*-module. Then there exists a positively graded* $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$*-module* $(\tilde{\pi}^+, \tilde{U}^+ = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} U_m^+)$ *(respectively negatively graded* $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$*-module* $(\tilde{\pi}^-, \tilde{U}^- = \bigoplus_{m \leq 0} U_m^-)$*) such that*

- $U_0^+ = U$ *(respectively* $U_0^- = U$*),*

- $\tilde{\pi}^+(V_1 \otimes U_m^+) = U_{m+1}^+$ *for any* $m \geq 0$ *(respectively* $\tilde{\pi}^-(V_{-1} \otimes U_m^-) = U_{m-1}^-$ *for any* $m \leq 0$*),*
- *for* $u_m^+ \in U_m^+$, $m \geq 1$, $\tilde{\pi}^+(V_{-1} \otimes u_m^+) = 0$ *implies* $u_m^+ = 0$ *(respectively for* $u_m^- \in U_m^-$, $m \leq -1$, $\tilde{\pi}^-(V_1 \otimes u_m^-) = 0$ *implies* $u_m^- = 0$*)*

uniquely up to isomorphism. We call such a positively graded $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$*-module (respectively negatively graded* $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$*-module) a positive extension of* U *with respect to* $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ *(respectively negative extension of* U *with respect to* $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$*).*

Note that a Lie algebra $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ might have a representation which can not be written in the form of positive nor negative extensions. Indeed, if $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ is infinite-dimensional, then the adjoint representation of $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ on $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ itself cannot be written in the form of a positive extension nor a negative extension.

Proposition 1.12 ([11, Proposition 3.18])**.** *Under the notation of Theorem 1.11, we have isomorphisms of* $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$*-modules:*

$$\widetilde{(U \oplus U')}^+ \simeq \tilde{U}^+ \oplus \tilde{U'}^+, \quad \widetilde{(U \oplus U')}^- \simeq \tilde{U}^- \oplus \tilde{U'}^-$$

for any $\mathfrak{g}$*-modules* U *and* U'*.*

Proposition 1.13 ([11, Proposition 3.15])**.** *Under the notation of Theorem 1.11, we have that a positive extension of a* $\mathfrak{g}$*-module* U *with respect to* $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ *is* $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$*-irreducible if and only if* U *is* $\mathfrak{g}$*-irreducible.*

1.2 Standard pentads equipped with a symmetric bilinear form

In the previous section, we obtained that there exists a graded Lie algebra $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ for a given standard pentad $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ such that the objects $\mathfrak{g}, (\rho, V), (\varrho, \mathcal{V})$ can be embedded into it (Theorem 1.4). To prove this theorem, we do not need the assumption that a bilinear form in a given pentad is symmetric. However, if we assume the symmetricity of a bilinear form, we can obtain some useful properties of $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$. For example, besides $\mathfrak{g}, (\rho, V), (\varrho, \mathcal{V})$, we can embed the bilinear form B_0 into $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ whenever B_0 is symmetric (Proposition 1.15).

Definition 1.14. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ be a standard pentad. We say that the pentad $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ is *symmetric* if and only if the bilinear form B_0 is a symmetric bilinear form.

In this section, we shall study properties of symmetric standard pentads and corresponding Lie algebras.

Proposition 1.15 ([11, Proposition 2.18])**.** *Let* $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ *be a symmetric standard pentad. Then there exists a non-degenerate symmetric* $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$*-invariant bilinear form* B_0^L *on* $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$ *satisfying the following equations*

$$B_0^L(x_0, y_0) = B_0(x_0, y_0), \quad B_0^L(x_1, y_{-1}) = \langle x_1, y_{-1} \rangle, \quad B_0^L(x_n, y_m) = 0 \quad (n + m \neq 0)$$

for any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $x_n \in V_n$, $y_m \in V_m$.

If a standard pentad $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ is symmetric, we can characterize graded Lie algebras of the form $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ by the existence such a bilinear form.

Theorem 1.16 ([11, Theorem 2.20])**.** *Let* $\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_n$ *be a graded Lie algebra which has a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form* $B_{\mathfrak{L}}$*. If* $\mathfrak{L}$ *and* $B_{\mathfrak{L}}$ *satisfy the following conditions* (i)$'$ *and* (ii)$'$*, then a pentad* $(\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathrm{ad}, \mathfrak{L}_1, \mathfrak{L}_{-1}, B_{\mathfrak{L}} \mid_{\mathfrak{L}_0\times\mathfrak{L}_0})$ *is standard such that the corresponding graded Lie algebra* $L(\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathrm{ad}, \mathfrak{L}_1, \mathfrak{L}_{-1}, B_{\mathfrak{L}} \mid_{\mathfrak{L}_0\times\mathfrak{L}_0})$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathfrak{L}$*:*

(i)$'$ $\mathfrak{L}_{i+1} = [\mathfrak{L}_1, \mathfrak{L}_i]$, $\mathfrak{L}_{-i-1} = [\mathfrak{L}_{-1}, \mathfrak{L}_{-i}]$ *for all* $i \geq 1$,

(ii)$'$ *the restriction of* $B_{\mathfrak{L}}$ *to* $\mathfrak{L}_i \times \mathfrak{L}_{-i}$ *is non-degenerate for any* $i \geq 0$,

where ad *stands for the adjoint representation of* $\mathfrak{L}$ *on itself (cf. [10, Proposition 3.3]).*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that the graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_n$ and a bilinear form $B_{\mathfrak{L}} \mid_{\mathfrak{L}_{-1}\oplus\mathfrak{L}_0\oplus\mathfrak{L}_1}$ satisfy the conditions from (i) to (v) in Theorem 1.5. The conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) are immediate from (i)$'$, (ii)$'$ and the assumption that $B_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is invariant. Suppose that $i \geq 2$ and that an element $x_i \in \mathfrak{L}_i$ satisfies $[x_i, \xi_{-1}] = 0$ for any $\xi_{-1} \in \mathfrak{L}_{-1}$. Then we have an equation

$$0 = B_{\mathfrak{L}}([x_i, \xi_{-1}], \zeta_{-i+1}) = B_{\mathfrak{L}}(x_i, [\xi_{-1}, \zeta_{-i+1}])$$

for any $\xi_{-1} \in \mathfrak{L}_{-1}$ and $\zeta_{-i+1} \in \mathfrak{L}_{-i+1}$. From the assumptions (i)$'$ and (ii)$'$, we have that $x_i = 0$. Thus, we have (iv). Similarly, we can show (v). ∎

Under the situation of Proposition 1.15, we can expect that an $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$-module of the form $\tilde{U}^+$ defined in Theorem 1.11 can be embedded into some graded Lie algebra using the bilinear form B_0^L. Indeed, under some assumptions on a $\mathfrak{g}$-module U, we can construct a graded Lie algebra contains $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ and $\tilde{U}^+$.

Theorem 1.17 (chain rule, [11, Theorem 3.26])**.** *Let* $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ *and* $(\mathfrak{g}, \pi, U, \mathcal{U}, B_0)$ *be symmetric standard pentads. Then a pentad* $(L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0), \tilde{\pi}^+, \tilde{U}^+, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}^-, B_0^L)$ *is standard, and moreover, the corresponding Lie algebra is isomorphic to* $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho\oplus\pi, V\oplus U, \mathcal{V}\oplus\mathcal{U}, B_0)$ *up to gradation:*

$$L(L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0), \tilde{\pi}^+, \tilde{U}^+, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}^-, B_0^L) \simeq L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho\oplus\pi, V\oplus U, \mathcal{V}\oplus\mathcal{U}, B_0). \tag{1.7}$$

We call Theorem 1.17 chain rule of standard pentads and will use this isomorphism (1.7) frequently in section 3. The reason why we have assumed that B_0 is symmetric in Theorem 1.17 is to obtain a bilinear form B_0^L on $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$. On the other hands, the right hand side $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho\oplus\pi, V\oplus U, \mathcal{V}\oplus\mathcal{U}, B_0)$ of (1.7) is well-defined for standard pentads $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, \pi, U, \mathcal{U}, B_0)$ independent to the symmetricity of B_0.

We shall give a class of symmetric standard pentads which are obtained from finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebras.

Proposition 1.18 (prehomogeneous vector spaces of parabolic type)**.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an arbitrary finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{h}$ a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, R the root system with respect to $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, ψ a fundamental system of R all defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Let θ be a subset of ψ and define an element $H^\theta \in \mathfrak{h}$ satisfying*

$$\alpha(H^\theta) = \begin{cases} 0 & (\alpha \in \theta) \\ 2 & (\alpha \in \psi \setminus \theta). \end{cases}$$

This element H^θ induces a gradation of $\mathfrak{g}$ as

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} d_n(\theta) \quad \text{where } d_n(\theta) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [H^\theta, X] = 2nX\},$$

and is called a grading element. It is known that the vector space $d_0(\theta)$ is a finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebra and that the representation of $d_0(\theta)$ on $d_1(\theta)$ induces a prehomogeneous vector space, called a prehomogeneous vector space of parabolic type (see, for example, [6] or [7]). Denote the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $K_\mathfrak{g}$. The restriction of $K_\mathfrak{g}$ to $d_i(\theta) \times d_{-i}(\theta)$ is non-degenerate for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, in particular, $d_0(\theta)$-modules $d_1(\theta)$ and $d_{-1}(\theta)$ are the dual modules of each other via $K_\mathfrak{g}$. So, we have a standard pentad $(d_0(\theta), \mathrm{ad}, d_1(\theta), d_{-1}(\theta), K_\mathfrak{g})$. It is easy to show that the graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} d_n(\theta)$ and the symmetric bilinear from $K_\mathfrak{g}$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.16. Thus, we have an isomorphism of Lie algebras

$$L(d_0(\theta), \mathrm{ad}, d_1(\theta), d_{-1}(\theta), K_\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} d_n(\theta) = \mathfrak{g}$$

up to gradation.

In particular cases where $\theta = \emptyset$, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1.19 (cf. [8, Example 3.6])**.** *We retain to use the notations in Proposition 1.18. If we take $\theta = \emptyset$, then we have that*

$$d_0(\theta) = d_0(\emptyset) = \mathfrak{h}, \quad d_1(\theta) = d_1(\emptyset) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \psi} \mathbb{C}X_\alpha, \quad d_{-1}(\theta) = d_{-1}(\emptyset) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \psi} \mathbb{C}X_{-\alpha}$$

where X_α is a non-zero root vector of α.

Proof. Our claim follows immediately from some definitions in Proposition 1.18. ∎

Lemma 1.20. *Under the notations in Propositions 1.18 and 1.19, we have an isomorphism of Lie algebras up to gradation:*

$$\mathfrak{g} \simeq L(\mathfrak{h}, \mathrm{ad}, \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \psi} \mathbb{C}X_\alpha, \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \psi} \mathbb{C}X_{-\alpha}, K_\mathfrak{g}).$$

Proof. Our claim follows immediately from Propositions 1.18 and 1.19. ∎

Example 1.21. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$, $\mathfrak{h} = \{\mathrm{diag}(c_1, c_2, c_3) \mid c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{C},\ c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = 0\}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ consists of all diagonal matrices in $\mathfrak{g}$, $K_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{C}$ be the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$. The Killing form $K_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is given by $K_{\mathfrak{g}}(A, A') = 6\mathrm{Tr}(A \cdot A')$ for $A, A' \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let R be the root system with respect to $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ and $\psi = \{(\mathrm{diag}(c_1, c_2, c_3) \mapsto c_1 - c_2), (\mathrm{diag}(c_1, c_2, c_3) \mapsto c_2 - c_3)\}$ a fundamental system of R. Then the grading element corresponds to a subset $\theta = \emptyset$ of ψ is given as

$$H^{\emptyset} = \mathrm{diag}(2, 0, -2) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By an easy calculation, we have

$$d_0(\emptyset) = \mathfrak{h}, \quad d_1(\emptyset) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \,\middle|\, x, y \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \quad d_{-1}(\emptyset) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \xi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \,\middle|\, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

$$d_2(\emptyset) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \,\middle|\, z \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \quad d_{-2}(\emptyset) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \,\middle|\, \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \quad d_n(\emptyset) = \{0\}$$

for any $|n| \geq 3$. Then a pentad $(d_0(\emptyset), \mathrm{ad}, d_1(\emptyset), d_{-1}(\emptyset), K_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a standard pentad whose Lie algebra $L(d_0(\emptyset), \mathrm{ad}, d_1(\emptyset), d_{-1}(\emptyset), K_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}$.

2 Pentads of Cartan type

2.1 Definition of pentads of Cartan type

In this section, we shall study particular pentads which have a finite-dimensional commutative Lie algebra and its diagonalizable representation on a finite-dimensional vector space. That is, we shall consider an analogue of the adjoint representation of a Cartan subalgebra of finite-dimensional Lie algebra in the theory of standard pentads. First, let us consider how to describe such pentads (see Proposition 2.5). For this, we shall give some definitions.

Definition 2.1. Let r be a natural number, $\mathfrak{h}^r$ a direct sum of r-copies of a 1-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{gl}_1$, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{h}^r = \mathfrak{gl}_1^r = \overbrace{\mathbb{C} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}}^{r}.$$

We define a trivial bracket product on $\mathfrak{h}^r \times \mathfrak{h}^r$, i.e. we regard $\mathfrak{h}^r$ as an r-dimensional commutative Lie algebra with a bracket product $[a, a'] = 0$ for any $a, a' \in \mathfrak{h}^r$. Put $\epsilon_i := (\delta_{i1}, \ldots, \delta_{ir}) \in \mathfrak{h}^r$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, i.e. the i-th coordinate of ϵ_i is 1 and the others are 0.

Definition 2.2. We retain to use the notations in Definition 2.1. Let n be a positive integer, $D = (d_{ij})_{1\leq i\leq r, 1\leq j\leq n} \in \mathrm{M}(r,n;\mathbb{C})$ an arbitrary matrix of size $r\times n$ and $\Gamma = \mathrm{diag}(\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_n) \in \mathrm{M}(n,n;\mathbb{C})$ an invertible diagonal matrix of size $n\times n$. Put $\mathbb{C}^\Gamma_D := \mathrm{M}(n,1;\mathbb{C})$, $\mathbb{C}^\Gamma_{-D} := \mathrm{M}(n,1;\mathbb{C})$ and put $e_j := {}^t\begin{pmatrix}\delta_{j1} & \cdots & \delta_{jn}\end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^\Gamma_D$, $f_j := {}^t\begin{pmatrix}\delta_{j1} & \cdots & \delta_{jn}\end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^\Gamma_{-D}$ for $j = 1,\dots,n$, i.e. the j-th coordinates of e_j and f_j are 1 and the others are 0. We define representations $(\square^r_D, \mathbb{C}^\Gamma_D)$, $(\square^r_{-D}, \mathbb{C}^\Gamma_{-D})$ of $\mathfrak{h}^r$ and a bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle^\Gamma_D : \mathbb{C}^\Gamma_D \times \mathbb{C}^\Gamma_{-D} \to \mathbb{C}$ by:

$$\square^r_D(\epsilon_i\otimes e_j) := d_{ij}e_j, \qquad \square^r_{-D}(\epsilon_i\otimes f_j) := -d_{ij}f_j,$$

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix}x_1\\ \vdots\\ x_n\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}y_1\\ \vdots\\ y_n\end{pmatrix} \right\rangle^\Gamma_D := \begin{pmatrix}x_1 & \cdots & x_n\end{pmatrix}\Gamma\begin{pmatrix}y_1\\ \vdots\\ y_n\end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i x_i y_i \quad \left(\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\ \vdots\\ x_n\end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^\Gamma_D, \ \begin{pmatrix}y_1\\ \vdots\\ y_n\end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^\Gamma_{-D}\right).$$

In particular, $\langle e_i, f_j\rangle^\Gamma_D = \delta_{ij}\gamma_i$ for $i,j = 1,\dots,n$.

Here, note that the elements $\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_r \in \mathfrak{h}^r$, $e_1,\dots,e_n \in \mathbb{C}^\Gamma_D$ and $f_1,\dots,f_n \in \mathbb{C}^\Gamma_{-D}$ are bases of the linear spaces $\mathfrak{h}^r$, $\mathbb{C}^\Gamma_D$ and $\mathbb{C}^\Gamma_{-D}$ respectively.

Definition 2.3. We retain to use the notations in Definitions 2.1 and 2.2. Let $A \in \mathrm{M}(r,r;\mathbb{C})$ be an arbitrary invertible matrix of size $r\times r$. We define a bilinear form B_A on $\mathfrak{h}^r\times\mathfrak{h}^r$ by:

$$B_A((c_1,\dots,c_r),(c'_1,\dots,c'_r)) := \begin{pmatrix}c_1 & \cdots & c_r\end{pmatrix}\cdot {}^tA^{-1}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}c'_1\\ \vdots\\ c'_r\end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the bilinear form B_A is non-degenerate since the square matrix A is invertible and that B_A is invariant since the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}^r$ is commutative. Moreover, if A is a symmetric matrix, then B_A is a symmetric bilinear form.

Under these preparations, we give the following definition.

Definition 2.4 (Pentads of Cartan type)**.** We retain to use the notations in Definitions 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3. We call a pentad of the form $(\mathfrak{h}^r, \square^r_D, \mathbb{C}^\Gamma_D, \mathbb{C}^\Gamma_{-D}, B_A)$ a *pentad of Cartan type* and denote it by $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$.

It is well-known that two commutative and diagonalizable linear maps are simultaneously diagonalizable. Thus, we can obtain the following proposition immediately.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $(\mathfrak{g},\rho,V,\mathcal{V},B_0)$ be an arbitrary pentad satisfying the following three conditions:*

(i) *both $\mathfrak{g}$ and V are finite-dimensional vector spaces,*

(ii) *the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is commutative,*

(iii) *the representation ρ is diagonalizable.*

Then the pentad $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ is equivalent to some pentad of Cartan type.

Here, recall the definitions of matrices D and Γ of $P(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)$. The column vectors of D correspond to the eigenvectors of $\mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma$, and the entries of Γ correspond to the inner product $\langle e_i, f_i \rangle_D^\Gamma$. Thus, the equivalence relation of pentads of Cartan type is invariant even if we shuffle the order of the column vectors of D or take any other invertible diagonal matrix Γ'.

Proposition 2.6. *We retain to use the notations of Definition 2.4. Let $E_\pi = \left(\delta_{i,\pi(i)}\right)$ be a permutation matrix for a permutation $\pi : \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and take another invertible diagonal matrix $\Gamma' \in \mathrm{M}(n, n; \mathbb{C})$. Then we have an equivalence of standard pentads:*

$$P(r, n; A, D, \Gamma) \simeq P(r, n; A, D \cdot E_\pi, \Gamma').$$

In particular, the structure of the graded Lie algebra corresponding to a pentad of Cartan type is independent to the choice of Γ. However, a suitable Γ is useful for us to describe some properties of $P(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)$ and ones of its corresponding Lie algebra.

Proposition 2.7. *A pentad of the form $(d_0(\emptyset), \mathrm{ad}, d_1(\emptyset), d_{-1}(\emptyset), K_\mathfrak{g})$ (see Proposition 1.18) satisfies the conditions in Proposition 2.5. Thus, such a pentad is equivalent to some pentad of Cartan type and can be written using some r, n, A, D, Γ.*

Proof. Under the notation of Proposition 1.18, we have $d_0(\emptyset) = \mathfrak{h}$. Thus, from some properties of Cartan subalgebras, we can easily check that $(d_0(\emptyset), \mathrm{ad}, d_1(\emptyset), d_{-1}(\emptyset), K_\mathfrak{g})$ satisfies the conditions in Proposition 2.5. ∎

Example 2.8. We retain to use the notations in Example 1.21. Here, we shall give two pentads of Cartan type equivalent to the pentad $(d_0(\emptyset), \mathrm{ad}, d_1(\emptyset), d_{-1}(\emptyset), K_\mathfrak{g})$ defined in Example 1.21 as follows. Put

$$\varepsilon_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varepsilon_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -3 & \\ & & 2 \end{pmatrix} \in d_0(\emptyset),$$

and

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in d_1(\emptyset),$$

$$\Xi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Xi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in d_{-1}(\emptyset).$$

Then both $\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$ and $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$ are bases of the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $d_0(\emptyset) = \mathfrak{h}$, $\{X_1, X_2\}$ is a basis of $d_1(\emptyset)$, $\{\Xi_1, \Xi_2\}$ is a basis of $d_{-1}(\emptyset)$. We have the following equations among the above matrices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[\varepsilon_1, X_1] = 2X_1, \quad [\varepsilon_2, X_1] = -X_1, \quad [\varepsilon_1, X_2] = -X_2, \quad [\varepsilon_2, X_2] = 2X_2,\\
&\frac{1}{6}K_{\mathfrak{g}}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1) = 2, \quad \frac{1}{6}K_{\mathfrak{g}}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = -1, \quad \frac{1}{6}K_{\mathfrak{g}}(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2) = 2,\\
&[\epsilon_1, X_1] = 3X_1, \quad [\epsilon_1, X_2] = 0, \quad [\epsilon_2, X_1] = 4X_1, \quad [\epsilon_2, X_2] = -5X_2,\\
&\frac{1}{6}K_{\mathfrak{g}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1) = 6, \quad \frac{1}{6}K_{\mathfrak{g}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = 3, \quad \frac{1}{6}K_{\mathfrak{g}}(\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2) = 14,\\
&\frac{1}{6}K_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_i, \Xi_j) = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have two pentads of Cartan type $P(2, 2; A, D, \Gamma)$ and $P(2, 2; A', D', \Gamma')$ which are equivalent to the standard pentad $(d_0(\emptyset), \mathrm{ad}, d_1(\emptyset), d_{-1}(\emptyset), K_{\mathfrak{g}}) \simeq (d_0(\emptyset), \mathrm{ad}, d_1(\emptyset), d_{-1}(\emptyset), K_{\mathfrak{g}}/6)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{}^tA^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \left(A = \frac{1}{3}\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\\
&{}^t(A')^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 3 \\ 3 & 14 \end{pmatrix} \quad \left(A' = \frac{1}{75}\begin{pmatrix} 14 & -3 \\ -3 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad D' = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 4 & -5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.9. As we have seen in Example 2.8, even if two pentads of Cartan type $P(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)$ and $P(r, n; A', D', \Gamma')$ are equivalent, they do not always satisfy $(A, D, \Gamma) = (A', D', \Gamma')$.

2.2 Some properties of pentads of Cartan type

Some fundamental properties of pentads of Cartan type can be written by data r, n, A, D and Γ. The first claim is immediate but important.

Proposition 2.10. *A pentad of Cartan type is standard.*

Proof. From the assumption that $\Gamma = \mathrm{diag}(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) \in \mathrm{M}(n, n; \mathbb{C})$ is invertible, we have that all γ_i's are not 0 and that the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D^\Gamma : \mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma \times \mathbb{C}_{-D}^\Gamma \to \mathbb{C}$ defined in Definition 2.2 is non-degenerate. It means that the $\mathfrak{h}^r$-module $\mathbb{C}_{-D}^\Gamma$ is regarded as $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$ via $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D^\Gamma$. Since $\mathfrak{h}^r$ and $\mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma$ are finite-dimensional, we have that a pentad of Cartan type $P(r, n; A, D, \Gamma) = (\mathfrak{h}^r, \square_D^r, \mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma, \mathbb{C}_{-D}^\Gamma, B_A) \simeq (\mathfrak{h}^r, \square_D^r, \mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}_{-D}^\Gamma, \mathbb{C}), B_A)$ is standard. ∎

From Proposition 2.10 it follows that any pentad of Cartan type has a Φ-map. The Φ-map of $P(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)$ can be written by data r, n, A, D and Γ as the following proposition.

Proposition 2.11. *An arbitrary pentad of Cartan type $P(r, n; A, D, \Gamma) = (\mathfrak{h}^r, \square_D^r, \mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma, \mathbb{C}_{-D}^\Gamma, B_A)$ is a standard pentad whose Φ-map, denoted by $\Phi(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)$, is given by*

$$
\Phi(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)(e_i \otimes f_j) = \delta_{ij}\gamma_i(a_{11}d_{1i} + \cdots + a_{r1}d_{ri}, \ldots, a_{1r}d_{1i} + \cdots + a_{rr}d_{ri}) \tag{2.1}
$$

for any $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. Here, note that the right hand side of the equation (2.1) can be identified with a vector

$$\delta_{ij}{}^t A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_i d_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_i d_{ri} \end{pmatrix} = \delta_{ij}{}^t A \cdot D \cdot \Gamma \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ \delta_{ni} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.2}$$

via the identification between $(c_1, \ldots, c_r) \in \mathfrak{h}^r$ and ${}^t\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \cdots & c_r \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{M}(r, 1; \mathbb{C})$. Under this identification, we can show our claim by a direct calculation. In fact, for any $1 \leq i, j, k \leq r$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &B_A(\epsilon_k, \delta_{ij}\gamma_i(a_{11}d_{1i} + \cdots + a_{r1}d_{ri}, \ldots, a_{1r}d_{1i} + \cdots + a_{rr}d_{ri})) \\ &\quad = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{k1} & \cdots & \delta_{kr} \end{pmatrix} \cdot {}^t A^{-1} \cdot \delta_{ij}{}^t A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_i d_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_i d_{ri} \end{pmatrix} = \delta_{ij}\gamma_i d_{ki} = \langle d_{ki}e_i, f_j \rangle_D^\Gamma = \langle \square_D^r(\epsilon_k \otimes e_i), f_j \rangle_D^\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of Φ-maps, we have the equation (2.1). ∎

In this paper, the elements $\Phi(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)(e_i \otimes f_i) = \gamma_i(a_{11}d_{1i} + \cdots + a_{r1}d_{ri}, \ldots, a_{1r}d_{1i} + \cdots + a_{rr}d_{ri})$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ play important roles.

Definition 2.12. We put

$$\begin{aligned} h_i &:= \Phi(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)(e_i \otimes f_i) = \gamma_i(a_{11}d_{1i} + \cdots + a_{r1}d_{ri}, \ldots, a_{1r}d_{1i} + \cdots + a_{rr}d_{ri}) \\ &= \gamma_i((a_{11}d_{1i} + \cdots + a_{1r}d_{ri})\epsilon_1 + \cdots + (a_{r1}d_{1i} + \cdots + a_{rr}d_{ri})\epsilon_r) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

In general, a set $\{h_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is not always linearly independent and does not always generate the vector space $\mathfrak{h}^r$. Indeed, for example, if $r = \dim \mathfrak{h}^r > \dim \mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma = n$, then it is obvious that $\{h_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ can not generate $\mathfrak{h}^r$.

Proposition 2.13. *Let $P(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)$ be a pentad of Cartan type. Put $C(A, D, \Gamma) := \Gamma \cdot {}^t D \cdot A \cdot D \in \mathrm{M}(n, n; \mathbb{C})$ and denote it by $C(A, D, \Gamma) = (C_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n}$. Then we have the following equations:*

$$\square_D^r(h_i \otimes e_j) = \gamma_i \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix} d_{1i} & \cdots & d_{ri} \end{pmatrix} \cdot A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ d_{rj} \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot e_j = C_{ij}e_j, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\square_{-D}^r(h_i \otimes f_j) = -C_{ij}f_j, \tag{2.4}$$

$$B_A(h_i, h_j) = \gamma_i \cdot \gamma_j \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d_{1i} & \cdots & d_{ri} \end{pmatrix} \cdot A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ d_{rj} \end{pmatrix} = \gamma_j C_{ij} \tag{2.5}$$

for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Proof. We can show our claims by direct calculations.

Let us show (2.3). For any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\square_D^r(h_i \otimes e_j) &= \square_D^r(\gamma_i((a_{11}d_{1i} + \cdots + a_{r1}d_{ri})\epsilon_1 + \cdots + (a_{1r}d_{1i} + \cdots + a_{rr}d_{ri})\epsilon_r) \otimes e_j) \\ &= \gamma_i(d_{1j}(a_{11}d_{1i} + \cdots + a_{r1}d_{ri}) + \cdots + d_{rj}(a_{1r}d_{1i} + \cdots + a_{rr}d_{ri}))e_j \\ &= \gamma_i \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix} d_{1j} & \cdots & d_{rj} \end{pmatrix} \cdot {}^tA \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ d_{ri} \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot e_j = \gamma_i \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix} d_{1i} & \cdots & d_{ri} \end{pmatrix} \cdot A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ d_{rj} \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot e_j = C_{ij}e_j.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we we have the equation (2.3).

Let us show (2.4). From (2.3), we have the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}\langle e_k, \square_{-D}^r(h_i \otimes f_j)\rangle_D^\Gamma &= -\langle \square_D^r(h_i \otimes e_k), f_j\rangle_D^\Gamma = -\langle C_{ik}e_k, f_j\rangle_D^\Gamma = -\delta_{kj}\gamma_k C_{ik} \\ &= -\delta_{kj}\gamma_j C_{ij} = -C_{ij}\langle e_k, f_j\rangle_D^\Gamma = \langle e_k, -C_{ij}f_j\rangle_D^\Gamma.\end{aligned}$$

for any $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$. Since the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D^\Gamma$ is non-degenerate, we have the equation (2.4).

Let us show (2.5). From (2.3), we have

$$B_A(h_i, h_j) = B_A(h_i, \Phi(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)(e_j \otimes f_j)) = \langle \square_D^r(h_i \otimes e_j), f_j\rangle_D^\Gamma = \langle C_{ij}e_j, f_j\rangle_D^\Gamma = \gamma_j C_{ij}.$$

for any $1 \leq i, j \leq r$. Thus, we we have the equation (2.5). ∎

Remark 2.14. Note that the element $\gamma_j C_{ij}$ appeared in the right hand side of (2.5) coincides with the (i, j)-coefficient of a matrix $\Gamma \cdot {}^tD \cdot A \cdot D \cdot \Gamma = C(A, D, \Gamma) \cdot \Gamma$. This matrix $C(A, D, \Gamma) \cdot \Gamma$ is symmetric if A is symmetric.

The matrix $C(A, D, \Gamma)$ defined in Proposition 2.13 plays important roles in this paper.

Definition 2.15 (Cartan matrix of a pentad of Cartan type)**.** For a pentad of Cartan type $P(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)$, we define the Cartan matrix $C(A, D, \Gamma)$ of $P(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)$ by

$$C(A, D, \Gamma) = \Gamma \cdot {}^tD \cdot A \cdot D \in \mathrm{M}(n, n; \mathbb{C}).$$

Here, we introduce the notion of "regularity" of pentads of Cartan type as the following.

Definition 2.16. Let $P(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)$ be a pentad of Cartan type. We say that the pentad $P(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)$ is regular if and only if the Cartan matrix $C(A, D, \Gamma)$ is invertible.

The following proposition is immediate from the definition of Cartan matrices of pentads of Cartan type.

Proposition 2.17. *Let $P(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)$ be a pentad of Cartan type. If $r < n$, the Cartan matrix $C(A, D, \Gamma)$ is not invertible, i.e. $P(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)$ is not regular.*

Recall that pentads of Cartan type $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ and $P(r,n;A,D\cdot E_\pi,\Gamma')$ are equivalent. We need to define an equivalence relation among the set of Cartan matrices of pentads of Cartan type.

Definition 2.18. Let C and C' be Cartan matrices of some pentads of Cartan type. If there exist a permutation matrix E_π and an invertible diagonal matrix $\tilde{\Gamma}$ such that $C=\tilde{\Gamma}\cdot {}^tE_\pi\cdot C'\cdot E_\pi$, we say that these Cartan matrices are equivalent.

Even if Cartan matrices of two pentads of Cartan type are equivalent, it does not mean that the given pentads of Cartan type are equivalent (see Example 3.13 below).

Lemma 2.19. *Let $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ and $P(r',n';A',D',\Gamma')$ be standard pentads. If we assume that the matrices D and D' have* rank *n and* rank *n' respectively and that these pentads are equivalent, then $r=r'$, $n=n'$ and there exist a non-zero complex number $c\in\mathbb{C}$, a permutation $\pi:\{1,\dots,n\}\to\{1,\dots,n\}$ and a square matrix $T\in \mathrm{M}(r,r;\mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$A=\frac{1}{c}{}^tT^{-1}\cdot A'\cdot T^{-1},\quad D=T\cdot D'\cdot {}^tE_\pi,$$

where $E_\pi=(\delta_{i,\pi(i)})$ is a permutation matrix of π.

Proof. For an object X of $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$, we denote the corresponding one of $P(r',n';A',D',\Gamma')$ by adding "prime", X'. Assume that the pentads $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ and $P(r',n';A',D',\Gamma')$ are equivalent. Then there exist a non-zero element $c\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ and linear isomorphisms $\tau:\mathfrak{h}^r\to\mathfrak{h}^{r'}$ and $\sigma:\mathbb{C}^\Gamma_D\to\mathbb{C}^{\Gamma'}_{D'}$ satisfying:

$$\sigma(\square^r_D(a\otimes v))=\square^{r'}_{D'}(\tau(a)\otimes\sigma(v)),\quad B_A(a,b)=cB_{A'}(\tau(a),\tau(b)),\tag{2.6}$$

for any $a,b\in\mathfrak{h}^r$ and $v\in\mathbb{C}^\Gamma_D$, $\phi\in\mathbb{C}^\Gamma_{-D}$. From this, it follows that $r=r'$ and $n=n'$. Take square matrices $T=(T_{ij})_{i,j}\in\mathrm{M}(r,r;\mathbb{C})$ and $S=(S_{ij})_{i,j}\in\mathrm{M}(n,n;\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix}\tau(\epsilon_1)\\ \vdots\\ \tau(\epsilon_r)\end{pmatrix}=T\cdot\begin{pmatrix}\epsilon'_1\\ \vdots\\ \epsilon'_r\end{pmatrix},\quad \begin{pmatrix}\sigma(e_1)\\ \vdots\\ \sigma(e_r)\end{pmatrix}=S\cdot\begin{pmatrix}e'_1\\ \vdots\\ e'_r\end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, since $\operatorname{rank}D=\operatorname{rank}D'=n=n'$, we have that the column vectors in D and D' are linearly independent respectively. Thus, there is a permutation $\pi:\{1,\dots,n\}\to\{1,\dots,n\}$ such that

$$\mathbb{C}\sigma(e_i)=\mathbb{C}e'_{\pi(i)}$$

for any $i=1,\dots,n$. In particular, any row or column vector of S has a unique coefficient which is not 0. Thus, if we put

$$\Gamma^S_\pi=\operatorname{diag}\left(S_{\pi^{-1}(1),1},\dots,S_{\pi^{-1}(n),n}\right),$$

then we have

$$S=E_\pi\cdot\Gamma^S_\pi.\tag{2.7}$$

Now, from the equations in (2.6), we have the following two equations:

$$d_{ij}\sum_{1\le l\le n} S_{jl}e'_l = d_{ij}\sigma(e_j) = \sigma(\Box_D^r(\epsilon_i\otimes e_j)) = \Box_{D'}^{r'}(\tau(\epsilon_i)\otimes\sigma(e_j))$$
$$= \sum_{1\le k\le r,1\le l\le n}\Box_{D'}^{r'}(T_{ik}\epsilon'_k\otimes S_{jl}e'_l) = \sum_{1\le k\le r,1\le l\le n} T_{ik}d'_{kl}S_{jl}e'_l = \sum_{1\le l\le n}\left(\sum_{1\le k\le r} T_{ik}d'_{kl}\right)S_{jl}e'_l, \tag{2.8}$$

$$B_A(\epsilon_i,\epsilon_j) = cB_{A'}(\tau(\epsilon_i),\tau(\epsilon_j)) = c\sum_{1\le k,l\le r} B_{A'}(T_{ik}\epsilon'_k, T_{jl}\epsilon'_l) = c\sum_{1\le k,l\le r} T_{ik}B_{A'}(\epsilon'_k,\epsilon'_l)T_{jl} \tag{2.9}$$

for any i, j. We have the following equations from the equations (2.8) and (2.9)

$$d_{ij}S_{jl} = \left(\sum_{1\le k\le r} T_{ik}d'_{kl}\right)S_{jl}, \tag{2.10}$$

$${}^tA^{-1} = cT\cdot{}^t(A')^{-1}\cdot{}^tT \tag{2.11}$$

for any i, j, l. From (2.10), we have

$$(\text{the } (i,l)\text{-coefficient of } D\cdot S) = \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij}S_{jl} = \sum_{j=1}^n\left(\sum_{1\le k\le r} T_{ik}d'_{kl}\right)S_{jl} = \left(\sum_{1\le k\le r} T_{ik}d'_{kl}\right)\sum_{j=1}^n S_{jl}$$
$$= \left(\sum_{1\le k\le r} T_{ik}d'_{kl}\right)S_{\pi^{-1}(l),l} = (\text{the } (i,l)\text{-coefficient of } T\cdot D'\cdot\Gamma_\pi^S)$$

for any $1\le i\le r$ and $1\le l\le n$. Thus,

$$D\cdot S = T\cdot D'\cdot\Gamma_\pi^S. \tag{2.12}$$

From the equations (2.7), (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$A = \frac{1}{c}{}^tT^{-1}\cdot A'\cdot T^{-1} \quad\text{and}\quad D = T\cdot D'\cdot{}^tE_\pi.$$

This completes the proof. ∎

Lemma 2.20. *Let $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ and $P(r',n';A',D',\Gamma')$ be pentads of Cartan type such that the matrices D and D' have rank n and n'. If these pentads are equivalent, then their Cartan matrices are equivalent.*

Proof. We retain to use the notations in Lemma 2.19 and its proof. From the result of Lemma

2.19, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(A,D,\Gamma) &= \Gamma \cdot {}^tD \cdot A \cdot D = \Gamma \cdot E_\pi \cdot {}^tD' \cdot {}^tT \cdot \frac{1}{c}{}^tT^{-1} \cdot A' \cdot T^{-1} \cdot T \cdot D' \cdot {}^tE_\pi \\ &= \frac{1}{c}\Gamma \cdot E_\pi \cdot {}^tD' \cdot A' \cdot D' \cdot {}^tE_\pi = \frac{1}{c}\Gamma \cdot E_\pi \cdot (\Gamma')^{-1} \cdot C(A',D',\Gamma') \cdot {}^tE_\pi \\ &\simeq C(A',D',\Gamma') \quad \text{(as Cartan matrices)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have our claim. ∎

Remark 2.21. If $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ is regular, it must hold that $\operatorname{rank} D = n$. However, even if $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ satisfies $\operatorname{rank} D = n$, the pentad is not always regular (see Example 3.13).

Recall that a direct sum of Lie algebras associated with a standard pentad also corresponds to a standard pentad, called a direct sum of standard pentads (Definition 1.8 and Proposition 1.9). It is easy to show that a direct sum of pentads of Cartan type is also a pentad of Cartan type which can be written using the following data.

Proposition 2.22. *Let $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ and $P(r',n';A',D',\Gamma')$ be pentads of Cartan type. Then a direct sum $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma) \oplus P(r',n';A',D',\Gamma')$ of these pentads is also a pentad of Cartan type which is written by:*

$$P\left(r+r', n+n'; \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & O \\ \hline O & A' \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|c} D & O \\ \hline O & D' \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \Gamma & O \\ \hline O & \Gamma' \end{array}\right)\right).$$

Proposition 2.23. *Under the notation of Proposition 2.22, we have an isomorphism of graded Lie algebras:*

$$\begin{aligned} &L\left(r+r', n+n'; \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & O \\ \hline O & A' \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|c} D & O \\ \hline O & D' \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \Gamma & O \\ \hline O & \Gamma' \end{array}\right)\right) \\ &\quad \simeq L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma) \oplus L(r',n';A',D',\Gamma'). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.24. *Under the notation of Proposition 2.22, the Cartan matrix of $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma) \oplus P(r',n';A',D',\Gamma')$ is given by*

$$C\left(\left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & O \\ \hline O & A' \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|c} D & O \\ \hline O & D' \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \Gamma & O \\ \hline O & \Gamma' \end{array}\right)\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} C(A,D,\Gamma) & O \\ \hline O & C(A',D',\Gamma') \end{array}\right).$$

In particular, we can see that a direct sum $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma) \oplus P(r',n';A',D',\Gamma')$ is regular if and only if both $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ and $P(r',n';A',D',\Gamma')$ are regular. From the rank of D, we can read some properties of $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$.

Proposition 2.25. *Let $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma) = (\mathfrak{h}^r, \square_D^r, \mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma, \mathbb{C}_{-D}^\Gamma, B_A)$ be a pentad of Cartan type. On the representation $\square_D^r : \mathfrak{h}^r \otimes \mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma \to \mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma$ and on the elements $h_1, \ldots, h_n$, the followings hold:*

(i) $\mathrm{Ann}\,\mathbb{C}^{\Gamma}_{D} = \left\{ (c_1,\dots,c_r) \in \mathfrak{h}^r \;\middle|\; \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \cdots & c_r \end{pmatrix} \cdot D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$,

(ii) *the representation* $\square^r_D$ *is surjective if and only if the matrix* D *does not have a zero-column vector,*

(iii) *complex numbers* $c_1,\dots,c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ *satisfy* $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i h_i = 0$ *if and only if they satisfy*

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \cdots & c_n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \Gamma \cdot {}^t D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. (i) Take an arbitrary element $c_1\epsilon_1 + \cdots + c_r\epsilon_r \in \mathrm{Ann}\,\mathbb{C}^{\Gamma}_{D} \subset \mathfrak{h}^r$ $(c_1,\dots,c_r \in \mathbb{C})$. Then, it satisfies

$$\square^r_D((c_1\epsilon_1 + \cdots + c_r\epsilon_r) \otimes v) = 0$$

for any $v \in \mathbb{C}^{\Gamma}_{D}$. In particular cases where $v = e_i$ $(i = 1,\dots,n)$, we have equations

$$0 = \square^r_D((c_1\epsilon_1 + \cdots + c_r\epsilon_r) \otimes e_i) = c_1 d_{1i} + \cdots + c_r d_{ri}$$

for all $i = 1,\dots,n$. Thus, we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \cdots & c_r \end{pmatrix} \cdot D = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \cdots & c_r \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & \cdots & d_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{r1} & \cdots & d_{rn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and that $\mathrm{Ann}\,\mathbb{C}^{\Gamma}_{D} \subset \left\{ (c_1,\dots,c_r) \in \mathfrak{h}^r \mid \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \cdots & c_r \end{pmatrix} \cdot D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$. Since the elements $e_1,\dots,e_n$ span $\mathbb{C}^{\Gamma}_{D}$, the converse inclusion can be shown by a similar argument.

(ii) In order to prove (ii), we give the following claim on the general theory of Lie algebras:

- a completely reducible representation π on $U \neq \{0\}$ of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$, $\pi : \mathfrak{l} \otimes U \to U$, is surjective if and only if there does not exist a non-zero element $u \in U$ such that $\pi(\mathfrak{l} \otimes u) = \{0\}$.

In fact, if π is not surjective, then a vector space $\pi(\mathfrak{l} \otimes U) \subset U$ is a proper $\mathfrak{l}$-submodule of U. Thus, there exists a complement $W \neq \{0\}$ such that $U = W \oplus \pi(\mathfrak{l} \otimes U)$. Then an arbitrary non-zero element $w \in W$ satisfies $\pi(\mathfrak{l} \otimes w) \subset W \cap \pi(\mathfrak{l} \otimes U) = \{0\}$. Conversely, if we assume that a vector space $U_{\mathfrak{l}} := \{u \in U \mid \pi(\mathfrak{l} \otimes u) = \{0\}\}$ has a non-zero element, then a complement of $U_{\mathfrak{l}}$, denoted by W' is a proper $\mathfrak{l}$-submodule of U. Then $\pi(\mathfrak{l} \otimes U) \subset W' \subsetneq U$. Now, suppose that $\square^r_D$ is not surjective. Then, since $\square^r_D$ is completely reducible, we have that there exists a non-zero element v satisfying $\square^r_D(\mathfrak{h}^r \otimes v) = \{0\}$. Take elements $c_1,\dots,c_r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $v = c_1e_1 + \cdots + c_re_r$. From the assumption that $v \neq 0$, there exists an integer k such that $c_k \neq 0$. Then, from $\pi(\epsilon_1 \otimes v) = \cdots = \pi(\epsilon_r \otimes v) = 0$, we have $d_{1k}c_ke_k = \cdots = d_{rk}c_ke_k = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^{\Gamma}_{D}$ and, thus, $d_{1k} = \cdots = d_{rk} = 0$. It means that the k-th column of the matrix D is zero. Conversely, suppose that the l-th column of D is zero. Then $e_l \in \mathbb{C}^{\Gamma}_{D}$ satisfies $\pi(\epsilon_1 \otimes e_l) = \cdots = \pi(\epsilon_r \otimes e_l) = 0$, and thus, $\pi(\mathfrak{h}^r \otimes e_l) = \{0\}$.

(iii) Let us suppose that $c'_1, \dots, c'_n \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $c'_1 h_1 + \cdots + c'_n h_n = 0$. Then, from the equation (2.2), we have an equation

$$ {}^tA \cdot D \cdot \Gamma \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c'_1 \\ \vdots \\ c'_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{M}(r, 1; \mathbb{C}). \tag{2.13} $$

Since ${}^tA$ is invertible, we have an equation $D \cdot \Gamma \cdot {}^t \begin{pmatrix} c'_1 & \cdots & c'_n \end{pmatrix} = 0$. Thus, we can deduce that

$$ \left\{ (c'_1, \dots, c'_n) \in \mathfrak{gl}_1^n \;\middle|\; \sum_{i=1}^n c'_i h_i = 0 \right\} \subset \left\{ (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathfrak{gl}_1^n \;\middle|\; D \cdot \Gamma \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}. $$

We can show the converse inclusion by a similar argument. ∎

From Proposition 2.25, the following claims are immediate.

Corollary 2.26. *For a pentad of Cartan type $P(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)$, we have the following claims:*

(iv) $\dim \operatorname{Ann} \mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma = r - \operatorname{rank} D$. *In particular, the representation $\square_D^r$ is faithful if and only if* $\operatorname{rank} D = r$,

(v) $\dim \Phi(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)(\mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-D}^\Gamma) = \operatorname{rank} D$. *In particular, the elements $h_1, \dots, h_n$ are linearly independent if and only if* $\operatorname{rank} D = n$.

Proof. (iv) It is immediate from (i) in Proposition 2.25.

(v) Note that $\Phi(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)(\mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-D}^\Gamma)$ is spanned by $h_1, \dots, h_n$ as a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space. Then, we have

$$ \begin{aligned} \dim \Phi(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)(\mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-D}^\Gamma) &= \dim\{c_1 h_1 + \cdots + c_n h_n \mid c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}\} \\ &= \dim \mathfrak{gl}_1^n - \dim\{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathfrak{gl}_1^n \mid c_1 h_1 + \cdots + c_n h_n = 0\} \\ &= \dim \mathfrak{gl}_1^n - \dim \left\{ (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathfrak{gl}_1^n \mid D \cdot \Gamma \cdot {}^t \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \cdots & c_n \end{pmatrix} = {}^t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= n - (n - \operatorname{rank} D) \qquad \text{(note that } \Gamma \in \mathrm{M}(n, n; \mathbb{C}) \text{ is invertible)} \\ &= \operatorname{rank} D. \end{aligned} $$

Thus, we have our claims. ∎

It is easy to show that the same claims in Proposition 2.25 and in Corollary 2.26 hold on the representation $\square_{-D}^\Gamma$ instead of $\square_D^\Gamma$.

Remark 2.27. From Proposition 1.2, we have that $(\Phi(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)(\mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-D}^\Gamma))^\perp = \operatorname{Ann} \mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma$. Thus, we have an equation that $\dim \Phi(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)(\mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-D}^\Gamma) + \dim \operatorname{Ann} \mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma = \dim \mathfrak{h}^r$. It gives another proof of the claim (iv) or (v) in Corollary 2.26 using each other.

3 Contragredient Lie algebras

Using some results we have obtained in the previous section, let us study the structure of Lie algebras constructed with a pentad of Cartan type. In particular, we shall mainly consider the cases where pentads of Cartan type are regular.

3.1 Some notion and results due to V. Kac

To describe the structure of the Lie algebra associated with a pentad of Cartan type, we need to recall some notion and results due to V. Kac in [4] on graded Lie algebras.

Definition 3.1 ([4, p.1276, Definition 6]). A graded Lie algebra $G = \bigoplus G_i$ with local part $\hat{G} = G_{-1} \oplus G_0 \oplus G_1$ is said to be maximal [resp., minimal] if for any other graded Lie algebra G', every isomorphism of the local parts of G and G' can be extended to an epimorphism of G onto G' [of G' onto G].

Proposition 3.2 ([4, p.1276, Proposition 4]). *Let $\hat{G} = G_{-1} \oplus G_0 \oplus G_1$ be a local Lie algebra. There exist maximal and minimal graded Lie algebras whose local parts are isomorphic to $\hat{G}$.*

Definition 3.3 ([4, p.1279]). Let $A = (A_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, be a matrix with elements from the field $\mathbb{C}$. Let G_{-1}, G_1, G_0 be vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$ with bases $\{f_i\}$, $\{e_i\}$, $\{h_i\}$, respectively $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$. We call the minimal graded Lie algebra $G(A) = \bigoplus G_i$ with local part $\hat{G}(A) := G_{-1} \oplus G_0 \oplus G_1$, where the structure of $\hat{G}(A)$ is defined by:

$$[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i, \qquad [h_i, h_j] = 0, \qquad [h_i, e_j] = A_{ij} e_j, \qquad [h_i, f_j] = -A_{ij} f_j, \tag{3.1}$$

a contragredient Lie algebra, and the matrix A its Cartan matrix.

Lemma 3.4 ([4, p.1280, Lemma 1]). *The center Z of the Lie algebra $G(A)$ consists of elements of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i h_i$, where $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ij} a_i = 0$. If the matrix A contains no row consisting zeros alone, then the factoralgebra $G'(A) = G(A)/Z(A)$, with the induces gradation, is transitive.*

In particular, if A is invertible, then a contragredient Lie algebra $G(A)$ is transitive. Under these notion and notations, V. Kac proved the following important results on graded Lie algebras.

Proposition 3.5 ([4, p.1278, Proposition 5]). *a) A transitive graded Lie algebra is minimal.*

b) A minimal graded Lie algebra with a transitive local part is transitive.

c) Two transitive graded Lie algebras are isomorphic if and only if their local parts are isomorphic.

If A is invertible, symmetrizable and satisfies the axioms of Cartan matrices of Kac-Moody Lie algebras, then the contragredient Lie algebra $G(A)$ is isomorphic to the Kac-Moody Lie algebra with Cartan matrix A (see [2], in particular [2, Theorem 2]).

3.2 Lie algebras associated with a pentad of Cartan type

Let us study the structure of Lie algebras associated with a pentad of Cartan type. For this, we shall start with giving the notation to describe such Lie algebras.

Definition 3.6. Let $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ be a pentad of Cartan type. We denote a Lie algebra associated with $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ by $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$. We call a Lie algebra of the form $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ *a Lie algebra associated with a pentad of Cartan type*, or shortly, *PC Lie algebra*.

From Propositions 1.10 and 2.25, we have the following claim on the structure of $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ be a pentad of Cartan type. The corresponding graded Lie algebra $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ is transitive if and only if the $(r\times n)$-matrix D has rank r and has no zero-column vectors. In particular, when $r=n$, $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)=L(r,r;A,D,\Gamma)=L(n,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ is transitive if and only if a square matrix $D\in \mathrm{M}(r,r;\mathbb{C})=\mathrm{M}(n,n;\mathbb{C})$ is invertible.*

Remark 3.8. In particular, if $r=\dim \mathfrak{h}^r > \dim \mathbb{C}^\Gamma_D = n$, then $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ is not transitive.

The following theorem is to find the structure of a PC Lie algebra corresponding to some regular pentad of Cartan type.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $r\geq n\geq 1$ be positive integers and $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ be a regular pentad of Cartan type, i.e. its Cartan matrix $C(A,D,\Gamma)=\Gamma\cdot {}^tD\cdot A\cdot D$ is invertible. Then the corresponding PC Lie algebra $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ associated with the pentad $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ is a direct sum of $(r-n)$-dimensional center and a contragredient Lie algebra whose Cartan matrix is $C(A,D,\Gamma)$:*

$$L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)\simeq \mathfrak{gl}_1^{r-n}\oplus G(C(A,D,\Gamma)).$$

In particular, if $r=n$, then $L(r,r;A,D,\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to $G(C(A,D,\Gamma))$.

Proof. Put $C(A,D,\Gamma)=(C_{ij})_{ij}\in \mathrm{M}(n,n;\mathbb{C})$. Note that we have an equation $\operatorname{rank} D=n$ from the assumptions of this claim. Let $(\mathfrak{h}')^r$ be a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}^r$ which is spanned by $\{h_1,\dots,h_n\}$. This space $(\mathfrak{h}')^r$ is the image of $\Phi(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$. From Corollary 2.26, the set $\{h_1,\dots,h_n\}$ is a basis of the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $(\mathfrak{h}')^r$. Moreover, from Proposition 2.13, we have that the restriction of B_A to $(\mathfrak{h}')^r$ is non-degenerate. Thus, from Proposition 1.2, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}^r$ can be decomposed into a direct sum of the annihilator of $\square^\Gamma_D$ and $(\mathfrak{h}')^r$:

$$\mathfrak{h}^r=\operatorname{Ann}\square^\Gamma_D\oplus(\mathfrak{h}')^r.$$

Since $(\mathfrak{h}')^r$ is n-dimensional, the Lie algebra $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ is a direct sum of its $(r-n)$-dimensional center part and a graded Lie subalgebra L', which is spanned by

$$\{f_1,\dots,f_n\}\cup\{h_1,\dots,h_n\}\cup\{e_1,\dots,e_n\}.$$

From Theorem 1.5, Proposition 2.5 and the relations

$$[h_i, e_j] = C_{ij}e_j, \quad [h_i, f_j] = -C_{ij}f_j, \quad [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij}h_i, \quad B_A(h_i, h_j) = \gamma_j C_{ij}, \quad \langle e_i, f_j\rangle_D^\Gamma = \delta_{ij}\gamma_i, \tag{3.2}$$

we have an isomorphism of graded Lie algebras:

$$L' \simeq L\left(n, n; {}^t\left(C(A, D, \Gamma)\cdot\Gamma\right)^{-1}, C(A, D, \Gamma), \Gamma\right).$$

From Proposition 3.7 and the assumption that $C(A, D, \Gamma)$ is invertible, we have that the graded Lie algebra $L\left(n, n; {}^t\left(C(A, D, \Gamma)\cdot\Gamma\right)^{-1}, C(A, D, \Gamma), \Gamma\right)$ is transitive. Thus, from Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.5 and the equations (3.2), it is isomorphic to a contragredient Lie algebra whose Cartan matrix is $C(A, D, \Gamma)$. Summarizing, we have an isomorphism of Lie algebras:

$$L(r, n; A, D, \Gamma) \simeq ((r-n)\text{-dimensional center}) \oplus L' \simeq \mathfrak{gl}_1^{r-n} \oplus G(C(A, D, \Gamma))$$

up to gradation. ∎

Example 3.10. We retain to use the notations in Examples 1.21 and 2.8. From Propositions 1.7, 1.19 and Examples 1.21, 2.8, we can easily show that the Lie algebras $L(2, 2; A, D, \Gamma)$ and $L(2, 2; A', D', \Gamma')$ are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_3$. Here, let us try to show the same claim using Theorem 3.9. For this, let us find the Cartan matrices of pentads $P(2, 2; A, D, \Gamma)$ and $P(2, 2; A', D', \Gamma')$. By a direct calculation, we have

$$\Gamma\cdot{}^tD\cdot A\cdot D = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}2 & -1\\ -1 & 2\end{pmatrix}\cdot\frac{1}{3}\begin{pmatrix}2 & 1\\ 1 & 2\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}2 & -1\\ -1 & 2\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}2 & -1\\ -1 & 2\end{pmatrix},$$
$$\Gamma'\cdot{}^tD'\cdot A'\cdot D' = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}3 & 4\\ 0 & -5\end{pmatrix}\cdot\frac{1}{75}\begin{pmatrix}14 & -3\\ -3 & 6\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}3 & 0\\ 4 & -5\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}2 & -1\\ -1 & 2\end{pmatrix}.$$

Both these matrices coincide with the Cartan matrix of type A_2, which is invertible. Thus, we have that both the Lie algebras $L(2, 2; A, D, \Gamma)$ and $L(2, 2; A', D', \Gamma')$ are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ from Theorem 3.9.

As corollaries of Theorem 3.9, we have the following theorems.

Theorem 3.11. *A contragredient Lie algebra with an invertible Cartan matrix is isomorphic to some PC Lie algebra. In particular, a Kac-Moody Lie algebra with an invertible symmetrizable Cartan matrix is isomorphic to some PC Lie algebra.*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathrm{M}(l, l; \mathbb{C})$ be an invertible square matrix and $G(X)$ a contragredient Lie algebra whose Cartan matrix is X. Then we have an isomorphism of Lie algebras: $G(X) \simeq L(l, l; X, I_l, I_l)$ from an equation $C(X, I_l, I_l) = I_l\cdot{}^tI_l\cdot X\cdot I_l = X$ and Theorem 3.9. ∎

Theorem 3.12. *Let $r \geq r' \geq 1$ be positive integers. If pentads of Cartan type $P(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)$ and $P(r', n'; A', D', \Gamma')$ have equivalent invertible Cartan matrices $C(A, D, \Gamma) \simeq C(A', D', \Gamma')$, then $L(r', n; A', D', \Gamma')$ is regarded as an ideal of $L(r, n; A, D, \Gamma)$ and have an isomorphism*

$$L(r, n; A, D, \Gamma) \simeq \mathfrak{gl}_1^{r-r'} \oplus L(r', n'; A', D', \Gamma').$$

Proof. Since the size of a Cartan matrix is invariant under the equivalence, we have an equation $n = n'$. Moreover, from Proposition 2.17, the assumption that $C(A, D, \Gamma)$ and $C(A', D', \Gamma')$ are invertible implies that $r \geq r' \geq n = n'$. If we take an invertible diagonal matrix Γ'' and a permutation matrix E_π such that $C(A, D, \Gamma) = \Gamma'' \cdot {}^tE_\pi \cdot C(A', D', \Gamma') \cdot E_\pi$, then the matrix $\Gamma'' \cdot {}^tE_\pi \cdot C(A', D', \Gamma') \cdot E_\pi$ is a Cartan matrix of $P(r', n'; A', D' \cdot E_\pi, \Gamma'' \cdot {}^tE_\pi \cdot \Gamma' \cdot {}^tE_\pi^{-1})$ equivalent to $P(r', n'; A', D', \Gamma')$. Thus, we have an isomorphism of Lie algebras:

$$\begin{aligned}
L(r, n; A, D, \Gamma) &\simeq \mathfrak{gl}_1^{r-n} \oplus G(C(A, D, \Gamma)) \quad \text{(from Theorem 3.9)} \\
&\simeq \mathfrak{gl}_1^{r-n} \oplus G(\Gamma'' \cdot {}^tE_\pi \cdot C(A', D', \Gamma') \cdot E_\pi) \\
&\simeq \mathfrak{gl}_1^{r-r'} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1^{r'-n'} \oplus G(C(A', D' \cdot E_\pi, \Gamma'' \cdot {}^tE_\pi \cdot \Gamma' \cdot {}^tE_\pi^{-1})) \\
&\simeq \mathfrak{gl}_1^{r-r'} \oplus L(r', n'; A', D' \cdot E_\pi, \Gamma'' \cdot {}^tE_\pi \cdot \Gamma' \cdot {}^tE_\pi^{-1}) \\
&\simeq \mathfrak{gl}_1^{r-r'} \oplus L(r', n'; A', D', \Gamma').
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have our claim. ∎

To use Theorems 3.9 and 3.12, we need the assumption that the Cartan matrix of a pentad of Cartan type is invertible. On the other hand, unfortunately, the structure of a PC Lie algebra of a non-regular pentad of Cartan type is not determined by its Cartan matrix.

Example 3.13. Let us consider two pentads of Cartan type:

$$P\left(2, 1; \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, I_1\right) \quad \text{and} \quad P\left(2, 1; \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, I_1\right). \tag{3.3}$$

Both of these pentads have the same Cartan matrix equals to O_1:

$$\begin{aligned}
&C\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, I_1\right) = C\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, I_1\right) \\
&\quad = I_1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = I_1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = O_1
\end{aligned}$$

(in particular, the pentads in (3.3) are not regular). However, the corresponding Lie algebras are not isomorphic. It is easy to show that the first pentad induces a 4-dimensional commutative Lie algebra:

$$L\left(2, 1; \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, I_1\right) \simeq \mathfrak{gl}_1^4.$$

On the other hand, the corresponding Lie algebra to the second pentad is not commutative. Precisely, it is isomorphic to a 4-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}$ with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form B which is spanned by $\{y, h, h', x\}$ with relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[h, y] = -2y, \quad [h, x] = 2x, \quad [x, y] = h', \quad [h', h] = [h', y] = [h', x] = 0,\\
&B(x, y) = 1, \quad B(h, h') = 1,\\
&B(h, x) = B(h, y) = B(h', x) = B(h', y) = B(h, h) = B(h', h') = B(x, x) = B(y, y) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $\mathfrak{L}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathfrak{gl}_1^4$. Thus, the corresponding Lie algebras to the pentads (3.3) are not isomorphic:

$$L\left(2, 1; \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, I_1\right) \simeq \mathfrak{gl}_1^4 \not\simeq \mathfrak{L} \simeq L\left(2, 1; \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, I_1\right).$$

A loop algebra corresponds to some standard pentad (see [10, Proposition 3.7]). Moreover, a symmetrizable Lie algebra (see [5, Chapter 2, §2.1]) also corresponds to some standard pentad (see [9, Example 3.3.6]). To obtain these Lie algebras, we can particularly take a pentad of Cartan type. However, such a pentad of Cartan type might not be regular.

Example 3.14. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_2$ be the loop algebra associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ and $K_\mathfrak{g}$ the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$. We give a canonical gradation of $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ as

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}t^n \otimes \mathfrak{g}.$$

It is known that the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ has a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $K_\mathfrak{g}^t$ defined by

$$K_\mathfrak{g}^t(t^n \otimes \xi, t^m \otimes \eta) = \delta_{n+m,0} K_\mathfrak{g}(\xi, \eta) \quad (n, m \in \mathbb{Z},\ \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}).$$

From Theorem 1.16, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq L(\mathbb{C}t^0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g})}, \mathbb{C}t^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}t^{-1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, K_\mathfrak{g}^t). \tag{3.4}$$

Let us find a pentad of Cartan type whose corresponding Lie algebra is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g})$. Put

$$y := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2 = \mathbb{C}y \oplus \mathbb{C}h \oplus \mathbb{C}x \simeq L(\mathbb{C}h, \mathrm{ad}_\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}x, \mathbb{C}y, K_\mathfrak{g}) \tag{3.5}$$

from Theorem 1.16. It is obvious that the pentad $(\mathbb{C}h, \mathrm{ad}_\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}x, \mathbb{C}y, K_\mathfrak{g})$ is of Cartan type. Since

$$[h, y] = -2y, \quad [h, x] = 2x, \quad [x, y] = h, \quad K_\mathfrak{g}(h, h) = 8, \quad K_\mathfrak{g}(x, y) = K_\mathfrak{g}(y, x) = 4,$$

we have an equivalence of standard pentads:

$$(\mathbb{C}h, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbb{C}x, \mathbb{C}y, K_{\mathfrak{g}}) \simeq P\left(1, 1; {}^t\left(8\right)^{-1}, \left(2\right), \left(4\right)\right) = P\left(1, 1; \left(\tfrac{1}{8}\right), \left(2\right), \left(4\right)\right). \tag{3.6}$$

Thus, from (3.5) and (3.6), we have an isomorphism

$$L\left(1, 1; \left(\tfrac{1}{8}\right), \left(2\right), \left(4\right)\right) \simeq \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2. \tag{3.7}$$

By the way, this pentad of Cartan type in the right hand side has a Cartan matrix:

$$C\left(\left(\tfrac{1}{8}\right), \left(2\right), \left(4\right)\right) = \left(4\right) \cdot \left(2\right) \cdot \left(\tfrac{1}{8}\right) \cdot \left(2\right) = \left(2\right).$$

It coincides with the Cartan matrix of a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. Thus, we can give another proof of the isomorphism (3.7) by Theorem 3.9. Next, let us try to write the isomorphism (3.4) using the pentad in (3.6). It is easy to show that the representations $(\mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g})}, \mathbb{C}t^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ and $(\mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g})}, \mathbb{C}t^{-1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathbb{C}t^0 \otimes \mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g} \simeq L(\mathbb{C}h, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbb{C}x, \mathbb{C}y, K_{\mathfrak{g}})$ are respectively isomorphic to the positive extension of a $(\mathbb{C}h)$-module $\mathbb{C}y$ and the negative extension of a $(\mathbb{C}h)$-module $\mathbb{C}x$ with respect to the pentad $(\mathbb{C}h, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbb{C}x, \mathbb{C}y, K_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Since a pentad $(\mathbb{C}h, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbb{C}y, \mathbb{C}x, K_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is standard and the bilinear form $K_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is symmetric, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}) &\simeq L(\mathbb{C}t^0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g})}, \mathbb{C}t^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}t^{-1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathfrak{g}}^t) \\ &\simeq L(\mathbb{C}h, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbb{C}x \oplus \mathbb{C}y, \mathbb{C}y \oplus \mathbb{C}x, K_{\mathfrak{g}})\end{aligned}$$

from Theorem 1.17. We can easily check that a pentad $(\mathbb{C}h, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbb{C}x \oplus \mathbb{C}y, \mathbb{C}y \oplus \mathbb{C}x, K_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is equivalent to a pentad of Cartan type

$$P\left(1, 2; {}^t\left(8\right)^{-1}, \begin{pmatrix}2 & -2\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}4 & 0\\ 0 & 4\end{pmatrix}\right) = P\left(1, 2; \left(\tfrac{1}{8}\right), \begin{pmatrix}2 & -2\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}4 & 0\\ 0 & 4\end{pmatrix}\right) \tag{3.8}$$

by a similar argument to the argument of (3.6). Thus, we have an isomorphism of Lie algebras:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \simeq L\left(1, 2; \left(\tfrac{1}{8}\right), \begin{pmatrix}2 & -2\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}4 & 0\\ 0 & 4\end{pmatrix}\right).$$

The Cartan matrix of the pentad (3.8) is given by

$$C\left(\left(\tfrac{1}{8}\right), \begin{pmatrix}2 & -2\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}4 & 0\\ 0 & 4\end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}4 & 0\\ 0 & 4\end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}2\\ -2\end{pmatrix} \cdot \left(\tfrac{1}{8}\right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix}2 & -2\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}2 & -2\\ -2 & 2\end{pmatrix}.$$

It is not invertible and coincides with the Cartan matrix of type $A_1^{(1)}$.

Example 3.15. We retain to use the notations in Example 3.14. Let

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d = \left(\bigoplus_{n \le -1} \mathbb{C}t^n \otimes \mathfrak{g}\right) \oplus \left((\mathbb{C}t^0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{n \ge 1} \mathbb{C}t^n \otimes \mathfrak{g}\right)$$

be a graded Lie algebra with the bracket defined by

$$[t^n \otimes \xi, t^m \otimes \eta] = t^{n+m} \otimes [\xi, \eta] + n\delta_{n+m,0} K_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi, \eta)c,$$
$$[c, t^n \otimes \xi] = [c, c] = [c, d] = 0, \quad [d, t^n \otimes \xi] = nt^n \otimes \xi$$

for any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}$. The Lie algebra $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is an affine algebra associated to the affine matrix of type $A_1^{(1)}$ (see [5, Chapter 7]). It is known that the Lie algebra $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{g})$ has a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{g}}^t$ defined by

$$\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{g}}^t(t^n \otimes \xi, t^m \otimes \eta) = \delta_{n+m,0} K_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi, \eta), \quad \hat{K}_{\mathfrak{g}}^t(c, d) = 1,$$
$$\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{g}}^t(c, t^n \otimes \xi) = \hat{K}_{\mathfrak{g}}^t(d, t^n \otimes \xi) = \hat{K}_{\mathfrak{g}}^t(c, c) = \hat{K}_{\mathfrak{g}}^t(d, d) = 0$$

for any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}$ (see [5, §7.5, p.102]). Let us find a pentad of Cartan type whose corresponding Lie algebra is isomorphic to $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{g})$. From Theorem 1.16 and the argument in Example 3.14, we have isomorphisms

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq L\left((\mathbb{C}t^0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d, \mathrm{ad}_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{g})}, \mathbb{C}t^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}t^{-1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \hat{K}_{\mathfrak{g}}^t\right),$$
$$(\mathbb{C}t^0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d \simeq L\left(\mathbb{C}(t^0 \otimes h) \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d, \mathrm{ad}_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{g})}, \mathbb{C}(t^0 \otimes x), \mathbb{C}(t^0 \otimes y), \hat{K}_{\mathfrak{g}}^t\right).$$

It is easy to show that the representations $(\mathrm{ad}_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{g})}, \mathbb{C}t^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ and $(\mathrm{ad}_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{g})}, \mathbb{C}t^{-1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ of $(\mathbb{C}t^0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$ are respectively isomorphic to the positive extension of a $(\mathbb{C}(t^0 \otimes h) \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d)$-module $\mathbb{C}(t^1 \otimes y)$ and the negative extension of a $(\mathbb{C}(t^0 \otimes h) \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d)$-module $\mathbb{C}(t^{-1} \otimes x)$ with respect to $(\mathbb{C}(t^0 \otimes h) \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d, \mathrm{ad}_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{g})}, \mathbb{C}x, \mathbb{C}y, \hat{K}_{\mathfrak{g}}^t)$. Thus, from Theorem 1.17, we have an isomorphism

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq L\left(\mathbb{C}(t^0 \otimes h) \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d, \mathrm{ad}_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{g})}, \mathbb{C}(t^0 \otimes x) \oplus \mathbb{C}(t^1 \otimes y), \mathbb{C}(t^0 \otimes y) \oplus \mathbb{C}(t^{-1} \otimes x), \hat{K}_{\mathfrak{g}}^t\right).$$

We can easily check that the pentad $(\mathbb{C}(t^0 \otimes h) \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d, \mathrm{ad}_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{g})}, \mathbb{C}(t^0 \otimes x) \oplus \mathbb{C}(t^1 \otimes y), \mathbb{C}(t^0 \otimes y) \oplus \mathbb{C}(t^{-1} \otimes x), \hat{K}_{\mathfrak{g}}^t)$ is equivalent to a pentad of Cartan type

$$P\left(3, 2; {}^t\begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}\right) = P\left(3, 2; \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{8} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}\right). \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, we have an isomorphism

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq L\left(3, 2; \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{8} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

The Cartan matrix of the pentad (3.9) is given by

$$C\left(\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{8}&0&0\\0&0&1\\0&1&0\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}2&-2\\0&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}4&0\\0&4\end{pmatrix}\right)$$
$$=\begin{pmatrix}4&0\\0&4\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}2&0&0\\-2&0&1\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{8}&0&0\\0&0&1\\0&1&0\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}2&-2\\0&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}2&-2\\-2&2\end{pmatrix}.$$

It is not invertible and coincides with the Cartan matrix of type $A_1^{(1)}$.

As we have seen in Examples 3.14 and 3.15, the pentads of Cartan type (3.8) and (3.9) have the same Cartan matrix $A_1^{(1)}$. Since this matrix $A_1^{(1)}$ is not invertible, we can not apply Theorem 3.12 to these corresponding PC Lie algebras. In this case, we have

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{g})=\left(\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{C}t^n\otimes\mathfrak{g}\right)\oplus\mathbb{C}c\oplus\mathbb{C}d\not\simeq\mathfrak{gl}_1^2\oplus\left(\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{C}t^n\otimes\mathfrak{g}\right)=\mathfrak{gl}_1^2\oplus\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Indeed, the center of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is 1-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}c$.

3.3 Chain rule and pentads of Cartan type

As we have seen in the previous section, we can use the results of standard pentads to study contragredient Lie algebras with an invertible Cartan matrix. In this section, we shall aim to consider how to apply chain rule (Theorem 1.17) to PC Lie algebras and their representations (Theorem 3.21 and Lemma 3.24). For this, we need some notion and notations.

Definition 3.16 (triangular decomposition, cf. [5, Chapter 1.3, p.7])**.** Let $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ be a pentad of Cartan type and $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)=\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}V_n$ the corresponding graded Lie algebra. Let $\mathfrak{n}_+$ and $\mathfrak{n}_-$ be respectively subalgebras of $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ generated by $V_1=\mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma$ and $V_{-1}=\mathbb{C}_{-D}^\Gamma$, i.e. $\mathfrak{n}_+=\bigoplus_{n\geq 1}V_n$ and $\mathfrak{n}_-=\bigoplus_{n\leq -1}V_n$. Then, we have a direct sum of vector spaces

$$L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)=\mathfrak{n}_-\oplus\mathfrak{h}^r\oplus\mathfrak{n}_+.$$

We call it a *triangular decomposition* of $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$.

Definition 3.17 (highest/lowest weight module, cf. [5, Chapter 9.2, p.146])**.** Under the notations of Definition 3.16, if a $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$-module (ρ^λ,V^λ) (respectively (ρ_λ,V_λ)) satisfies the following conditions, we call V^λ *a highest weight module with highest weight* $\lambda\in\mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}^r,\mathbb{C})$ (respectively V_λ *a lowest weight module with lowest weight* λ):

(i) there exists a non-zero vector $v^\lambda\in V^\lambda$ such that $\rho^\lambda(h\otimes v^\lambda)=\lambda(h)v^\lambda$ for any $h\in\mathfrak{h}^r$ and $\rho^\lambda(\mathfrak{n}_+\otimes\mathbb{C}v^\lambda)=\{0\}$ (respectively $v_\lambda\in V_\lambda$ such that $\rho_\lambda(h\otimes v_\lambda)=\lambda(h)v_\lambda$ for any $h\in\mathfrak{h}^r$ and $\rho_\lambda(\mathfrak{n}_-\otimes\mathbb{C}v_\lambda)=\{0\}$),

(ii) V^λ is generated by $\mathfrak{n}_-$ and $\mathbb{C}v^\lambda$ (respectively V_λ is generated by $\mathfrak{n}_+$ and $\mathbb{C}v_\lambda$),

(iii) V^λ (respectively V_λ) is an irreducible $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$-module.

Moreover, we call such a non-zero vector v^λ a *highest weight vector* of V^λ (respectively v_λ a *lowest weight vector* of V_λ).

Proposition 3.18. *If a $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$-module V^λ is a highest weight module with highest weight $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}^r,\mathbb{C})$ (respectively V_λ is a lowest weight module with lowest weight λ), then V^λ is isomorphic to the negative extension of $\mathbb{C}v^\lambda \subset V$ (respectively V_λ is isomorphic to the positive extension of $\mathbb{C}v_\lambda$) with respect to $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$. In particular, for any $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}^r,\mathbb{C})$, there exists a unique highest weight (respectively lowest weight) $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$-module whose highest weight (respectively lowest weight) is λ up to isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $V^\lambda = \bigoplus_{m\leq 0} V^\lambda_m$ be a canonical gradation of a graded Lie module of $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$. To prove our claim on V^λ, it is sufficient to show that V^λ is transitive. Suppose that there exists a non-zero element $v_m \in V^\lambda_m$ $(m \leq -1)$ such that $\rho^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^\Gamma_D \otimes v_m) = \{0\}$. Then a submodule U^{v_m} of V^λ generated by $\mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h}^r$ and $\mathbb{C}v_m$ is a non-zero subspace of V^λ. On the other hand, since U^{v_m} does not contain V^λ_0, U^{v_m} is a proper submodule of V^λ. It contradicts to the assumption that V^λ is irreducible. Thus, V^λ is transitive. By the same argument, we have our claim on V_λ. ∎

In particular, a highest/lowest weight module of a PC Lie algebra is determined by its highest/lowest weight. On the other hand, even if a module of a PC Lie algebra satisfies the assumptions (i) and (ii) in Definition 3.17, it might not be irreducible. That is, to obtain Proposition 3.18, we can not omit the assumption on irreducibility from Definition 3.17.

Example 3.19. Let us again consider the pentads of Cartan type considered in Example 3.13:

$$P = P\left(2,1;\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix},I_1\right)$$

and denote the corresponding PC Lie algebra by $L(P)$. Then $L(P) = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h}^2 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ is 4-dimensional and commutative, moreover, we have equations

$$\dim \mathfrak{n}_- = 1, \quad \dim \mathfrak{h}^2 = 2, \quad \dim \mathfrak{n}_+ = 1.$$

Take bases $\{f\}$ of $\mathfrak{n}_-$, $\{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}^2$, $\{e\}$ of $\mathfrak{n}_+$ and define a representation ρ of $L(P)$ on $V_0 = \mathrm{M}(2,1;\mathbb{C})$ by:

$$\rho\left(f\otimes\begin{pmatrix}v_1\\v_2\end{pmatrix}\right) = \rho\left(\epsilon_1\otimes\begin{pmatrix}v_1\\v_2\end{pmatrix}\right) = \rho\left(\epsilon_2\otimes\begin{pmatrix}v_1\\v_2\end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix},$$
$$\rho\left(e\otimes\begin{pmatrix}v_1\\v_2\end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}v_2\\0\end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for any } \begin{pmatrix}v_1\\v_2\end{pmatrix} \in V_0.$$

Then, we have that V_0 has a lowest weight vector $v_0 = {}^t\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in V_0$ with lowest weight $0 \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}^2, \mathbb{C})$ and is generated by $\mathbb{C}v_0$ and $\mathfrak{n}_+$. However, V is not irreducible. Here, we regard $\mathbb{C}v_0$ as 1-dimensional trivial module of $\mathfrak{h}^2$. Then the positive extension of $\mathbb{C}v_0$ with respect to P is also 1-dimensional trivial $L(P)$-module. Thus, a reducible $L(P)$-module V_0 is not isomorphic to the positive extension of $\mathbb{C}v_0$.

Lemma 3.20. *Let $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ be a pentad of Cartan type. Then, for any $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}^r, \mathbb{C})$, there exists a non-degenerate $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$-invariant bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : V_\lambda \times V^{-\lambda} \to \mathbb{C}$ between the lowest weight module $(\rho_\lambda, V_\lambda)$ with a lowest weight λ and the highest weight module $(\rho^{-\lambda}, V^{-\lambda})$ with a highest weight $-\lambda$. Moreover, when $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ is symmetric, pentads $(L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma), \rho_\lambda, V_\lambda, V^{-\lambda}, B_A^L)$ and $(L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma), \rho^{-\lambda}, V^{-\lambda}, V_\lambda, B_A^L)$ are standard.*

Proof. From Proposition 3.18, $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ has such modules V_λ and $V^{-\lambda}$. Take a non-zero highest weight vector $v^{-\lambda} \in V^{-\lambda}$ and a non-zero lowest weight vector $v_\lambda \in V_\lambda$ and define a pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : \mathbb{C}v_\lambda \times \mathbb{C}v^{-\lambda} \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\langle v_\lambda, v^{-\lambda}\rangle = 1$. Then $\mathfrak{h}^r$-modules $\mathbb{C}v_\lambda$ and $\mathbb{C}v^{-\lambda}$ are dual modules of each other via this pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. Moreover, a pentad $(\mathfrak{h}^r, \rho_\lambda, \mathbb{C}v_\lambda, \mathbb{C}v^{-\lambda}, B_A)$ is standard since all objects $\mathfrak{h}^r$, $\mathbb{C}v_\lambda$, $\mathbb{C}v^{-\lambda}$ are finite-dimensional. Thus, we have that the pentad $(L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma), \rho_\lambda, V_\lambda, V^{-\lambda}, B_A^L)$ is standard from Theorem 1.17 and Proposition 3.18. The same holds on $(L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma), \rho^{-\lambda}, V^{-\lambda}, V_\lambda, B_A^L)$. ∎

Under these notations, we have the following theorem from chain rule immediately.

Theorem 3.21. *Let $P(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ be a symmetric pentad of Cartan type, ρ_{λ_i} a representation of $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$ on a lowest weight module V_{λ_i} whose lowest weight is λ_i $(i = 1,\dots,k)$. Let $V^{-\lambda_i}$ be a highest weight $L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma)$-module whose highest weight is $-\lambda_i$ $(i = 1,\dots,k)$. Then we have an isomorphism of Lie algebras up to gradation:*

$$\begin{aligned} &L\left(L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma), \rho_{\lambda_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus\rho_{\lambda_k}, V_{\lambda_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus V_{\lambda_k}, V^{-\lambda_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus V^{-\lambda_k}, B_A^L\right) \\ &\quad\simeq L\left(r, n+k; A, \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} D & \lambda_1(\epsilon_1) & \cdots & \lambda_k(\epsilon_1) \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & \lambda_1(\epsilon_r) & \cdots & \lambda_k(\epsilon_r) \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \Gamma & 0 \\ \hline 0 & I_k \end{array}\right)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where $\{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_r\}$ is a basis of the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\mathfrak{h}^r$. In particular, a PC Lie algebra and its lowest (respectively highest) weight modules can be embedded into positive (respectively negative) side of some larger PC Lie algebra.

Proof. From Theorem 1.17 and Lemma 3.20, we have an isomorphism of Lie algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} &L\left(L(r,n;A,D,\Gamma), \rho_{\lambda_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus\rho_{\lambda_k}, V_{\lambda_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus V_{\lambda_k}, V^{-\lambda_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus V^{-\lambda_k}, B_A^L\right) \\ &\quad\simeq L\left(\mathfrak{h}^r, \square_D^\Gamma\oplus\rho_{\lambda_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus\rho_{\lambda_k}, \mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma\oplus\mathbb{C}v_{\lambda_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathbb{C}v_{\lambda_k}, \mathbb{C}_{-D}^\Gamma\oplus\mathbb{C}v^{-\lambda_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathbb{C}v^{-\lambda_k}, B_A\right) \end{aligned}$$

up to gradation. We can assume that a canonical pairing $\langle v_{\lambda_i}, v^{-\lambda_j}\rangle = \delta_{ij}$ for any i, j without loss of generality. Then, we have an equivalence of standard pentads:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\mathfrak{h}^r, \square_D^\Gamma \oplus \rho_{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{\lambda_k}, \mathbb{C}_D^\Gamma \oplus \mathbb{C}v_{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}v_{\lambda_k}, \mathbb{C}_{-D}^\Gamma \oplus \mathbb{C}v^{-\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}v^{-\lambda_k}, B_A\right)\\ &\simeq P\left(r, n+k; A, \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} & \lambda_1(\epsilon_1) & \cdots & \lambda_k(\epsilon_1)\\ D & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ & \lambda_1(\epsilon_r) & \cdots & \lambda_k(\epsilon_r)\end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \Gamma & 0\\ \hline 0 & I_k\end{array}\right)\right). \qquad (3.11)\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have our claim. ∎

The pentads of the form (3.11) might not be regular. But, in the special cases where $r = n$ and $P(r, r; A, D, \Gamma)$ is regular and symmetric, i.e. $L(r, r, A, D, \Gamma) = G(C(A, D, \Gamma))$ with a symmetric Cartan matrix, then we can embed a representation $(L(r, r; A, D, \Gamma), \rho_{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{\lambda_k})$ into some contragredient Lie algebra by adding some scalar multiplications (Lemma 3.24 below). For this, we need to prepare the following notation and result.

Definition 3.22. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra, $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k$ representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $V_1, \ldots, V_k$. We define representations $(\rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_k)^\square$ and $(\rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_k)^{-\square}$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_1^k \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ on $V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k$ by:

$$\begin{aligned}(\rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_k)^\square :&(\mathfrak{gl}_1^k \oplus \mathfrak{g}) \otimes (V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k) \to V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k\\ &(c_1, \ldots, c_k, A) \otimes (v_1, \ldots, v_k) \mapsto (c_1 v_1 + \rho_1(A \otimes v_1), \ldots, c_k v_k + \rho_k(A \otimes v_k)),\\ (\rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_k)^{-\square} :&(\mathfrak{gl}_1^k \oplus \mathfrak{g}) \otimes (V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k) \to V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k\\ &(c_1, \ldots, c_k, A) \otimes (v_1, \ldots, v_k) \mapsto (-c_1 v_1 + \rho_1(A \otimes v_1), \ldots, -c_k v_k + \rho_k(A \otimes v_k)).\end{aligned}$$

The following proposition is clear.

Proposition 3.23. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ be a standard pentad. Then a pentad $(\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \rho^\square, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0^c) = (\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \rho^\square, V, (\varrho^{-\square}, \mathcal{V}), B_0^c)$ is also standard for any $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ where B_0^c is a bilinear form on $\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ defined by:*

$$B_0^c((a, A), (a', A')) = caa' + B_0(A, A') \quad (a, a' \in \mathfrak{gl}_1,\ A, A' \in \mathfrak{g}).$$

The Φ-map $\Phi_{\rho^\square}^c$ of $(\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \rho^\square, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0^c)$ is described by the Φ-map Φ_ρ of $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, \mathcal{V}, B_0)$ as:

$$\Phi_{\rho^\square}^c : V \otimes \mathcal{V} \to \mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g} \qquad v \otimes \phi \mapsto (\frac{1}{c}\langle v, \phi\rangle, \Phi_\rho(v \otimes \phi)).$$

Lemma 3.24. *Let $P(r, r; A, D, \Gamma)$ be a regular symmetric pentad of Cartan type. Take arbitrary elements $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}^r, \mathbb{C})$ and denote the lowest (respectively highest) weight modules of $L(r, r; A, D, \Gamma) = G(C(A, D, \Gamma))$ whose lowest (respectively highest) weight is λ_i (respectively $-\lambda_i$)*

by $(\rho_{\lambda_i}, V_{\lambda_i})$ *(respectively* $(\rho^{-\lambda_i}, V^{-\lambda_i})$*) for* $i = 1, \ldots, k$*. Take an arbitrary symmetric invertible matrix* $\tilde{A} \in \mathrm{M}(k, k; \mathbb{C})$ *and define a bilinear form* $\tilde{B}$ *on* $\mathfrak{gl}_1^k$ *by:*

$$\tilde{B}((\tilde{c}_1, \ldots, \tilde{c}_k), (\tilde{c}'_1, \ldots, \tilde{c}'_k)) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{c}_k \end{pmatrix} \cdot {}^t\tilde{A}^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}'_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{c}'_k \end{pmatrix}$$

for any $\tilde{c}_1, \ldots, \tilde{c}_k, \tilde{c}'_1, \ldots, \tilde{c}'_k$*. Then a* $\mathfrak{gl}_1^k \oplus L(r, r; A, D, \Gamma)$*-module* $((\rho_{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{\lambda_k})^{\square}, V_{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\lambda_k})$ *can be embedded into some contragredient Lie algebras:*

$$\begin{aligned} & L\left(\mathfrak{gl}_1^k \oplus L(r, r; A, D, \Gamma), (\rho_{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{\lambda_k})^{\square}, V_{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\lambda_k}, V^{-\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V^{-\lambda_k}, \tilde{B} \oplus B_A^L\right) \\ & \quad \simeq L\left(r + k, r + k; \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \tilde{A} & O \\ \hline O & A \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} O & \multicolumn{3}{c}{I_k} \\ \hline & \lambda_1(\epsilon_1) & \cdots & \lambda_k(\epsilon_1) \\ D & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & \lambda_1(\epsilon_r) & \cdots & \lambda_k(\epsilon_r) \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \Gamma & O \\ \hline O & I_k \end{array}\right)\right) \\ & \quad \simeq G\left(\left(\begin{array}{c|c} C(A, D, \Gamma) & \Gamma \cdot {}^tD \cdot A \cdot \Lambda \\ \hline {}^t\Lambda \cdot A \cdot D & \tilde{A} + {}^t\Lambda \cdot A \cdot \Lambda \end{array}\right)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(\epsilon_1) & \cdots & \lambda_k(\epsilon_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_1(\epsilon_r) & \cdots & \lambda_k(\epsilon_r) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. The isomorphism of the first and second terms in (3.12) can be proved by a similar argument to the argument in Theorem 3.21. Let us show the isomorphism of the second and third terms in (3.12). The Cartan matrix of a pentad of Cartan type corresponding to the second term is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} & C\left(\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \tilde{A} & O \\ \hline O & A \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} O & \multicolumn{3}{c}{I_k} \\ \hline & \lambda_1(\epsilon_1) & \cdots & \lambda_k(\epsilon_1) \\ D & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & \lambda_1(\epsilon_r) & \cdots & \lambda_k(\epsilon_r) \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \Gamma & O \\ \hline O & I_k \end{array}\right)\right) \\ & = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \Gamma & O \\ \hline O & I_k \end{array}\right) \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c|c} O & {}^tD \\ \hline I_k & {}^t\Lambda \end{array}\right) \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \tilde{A} & O \\ \hline O & A \end{array}\right) \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c|c} O & I_k \\ \hline D & \Lambda \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} C(A, D, \Gamma) & \Gamma \cdot {}^tD \cdot A \cdot \Lambda \\ \hline {}^t\Lambda \cdot A \cdot D & \tilde{A} + {}^t\Lambda \cdot A \cdot \Lambda \end{array}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

From the assumption that $C(A, D, \Gamma) \in \mathrm{M}(r, r; \mathbb{C})$ is invertible, we have that the square matrix

$D \in \mathrm{M}(r, r; \mathbb{C})$ is invertible and that

$$\left| \det \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} O & \multicolumn{3}{c}{I_k} \\ \hline & \lambda_1(\epsilon_1) & \cdots & \lambda_k(\epsilon_1) \\ D & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & \lambda_1(\epsilon_r) & \cdots & \lambda_k(\epsilon_r) \end{array} \right) \right| = |\det D| \neq 0.$$

Thus, we can deduce that the matrix (3.14) is invertible. Therefore, we have the isomorphism of the second and third terms from Theorem 3.9. This completes the proof. ∎

This lemma will be used in the next section to study finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebras and its representations.

3.4 Finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebras

I have seen that an arbitrary contragredient Lie algebra with an invertible Cartan matrix is isomorphic to a PC Lie algebra with a regular pentad of Cartan type (Theorem 3.11). Similarly, we can show that an arbitrary finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebra is isomorphic to a PC Lie algebra with a regular and symmetric pentad of Cartan type. The aim of this section is to apply this fact to study finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebras and their representations.

Lemma 3.25. *Any finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra is a PC Lie algebra with a regular pentad of Cartan type.*

This Lemma is immediate from Theorem 3.11 and a well-known fact that a Cartan matrix of a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra is invertible (see, for example, [5, Theorem 4.3, Proposition 4.9]). We can give another proof of the same claim by taking a Cartan subalgebra and its special basis, as the following. The argument in this proof will be used later.

Proof of Lemma 3.25. Let us give a proof of Lemma 3.25 using Lemma 1.20. Let $L(X_l)$ be a semisimple Lie algebra with a Cartan matrix X_l, $\mathfrak{h}$ a Cartan subalgebra of $L(X_l)$, R the root system of $(L(X_l), \mathfrak{h})$, $\psi = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l\}$ a fundamental system of R. Denote the Killing form of $L(X_l)$ by K_{X_l}. For any root $\alpha \in R$, we denote the coroot vector of α by $t_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$, i.e. $K_{X_l}(h, t_\alpha) = \alpha(h)$ for any $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Put $h_\alpha = 2t_\alpha/(\alpha, \alpha)$ where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a bilinear form on $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{C})$ defined by $(\gamma, \gamma') = K_{X_l}(t_\gamma, t_\gamma)$ $(\gamma, \gamma' \in R)$, i.e. $\alpha(h_\alpha) = 2$, and take non-zero root vectors e_α and $e_{-\alpha}$ of $\pm\alpha \in R$ such that $[e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}] = h_\alpha$. Then, by Lemma 1.20, we have an isomorphism

$$L(X_l) \simeq L(\mathfrak{h}, \mathrm{ad}, \bigoplus_{\alpha_i \in \psi} \mathbb{C}e_{\alpha_i}, \bigoplus_{\alpha_i \in \psi} \mathbb{C}e_{-\alpha_i}, K_{X_l}).$$

Let us find a pentad of Cartan type which is equivalent to $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathrm{ad}, \bigoplus_{\alpha_i \in \psi} \mathbb{C}e_{\alpha_i}, \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \psi} \mathbb{C}e_{-\alpha_i}, K_{X_l})$. It is well-known that $\{h_{\alpha_i} \mid \alpha_i \in \psi,\ i = 1, \ldots, l\}$ and ψ are respectively bases of the $\mathbb{C}$-vector

spaces $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{h},\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, it is also well-known that the Cartan matrix X_l is written using the bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot)$, that is, $X_l=(2(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)/(\alpha_i,\alpha_i))_{ij}$. Put

$$e_i=e_{\alpha_i},\quad f_i=e_{-\alpha_i},\quad \epsilon_i=h_{\alpha_i}\quad (i=1,\dots,r)$$

and $\Gamma=\mathrm{diag}(2/(\alpha_1,\alpha_1),\dots,2/(\alpha_l,\alpha_l))$. Then we have equations

$$[\epsilon_i,e_j]=\frac{2(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)}{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}e_j,\quad [\epsilon_i,f_j]=-\frac{2(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)}{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}f_j,$$
$$K_{X_l}(\epsilon_i,\epsilon_j)=\frac{4(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)}{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)(\alpha_j,\alpha_j)},\quad K_{X_l}(e_i,f_j)=\delta_{i,j}\frac{2}{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}\quad \text{for any } 1\le i,j\le l.$$

If we put

$$X_l'=X_l\cdot\Gamma=\left(\frac{4(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)}{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)(\alpha_j,\alpha_j)}\right)_{1\le i,j\le l},$$

then we have the symmetric matrix X_l' and an equivalence of standard pentads

$$(\mathfrak{h}(X_l),\mathrm{ad},\bigoplus_{\alpha_i\in\psi}\mathbb{C}e_{\alpha_i},\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\psi}\mathbb{C}e_{-\alpha_i},K_{X_l})\simeq P(l,l;{}^t(X_l')^{-1},X_l,\Gamma)=P(l,l;(X_l')^{-1},X_l,\Gamma).\qquad(3.15)$$

Thus, we have an isomorphism of Lie algebras up to gradation:

$$L(X_l)\simeq L(\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{ad},\bigoplus_{\alpha_i\in\psi}\mathbb{C}e_{\alpha_i},\bigoplus_{\alpha_i\in\psi}\mathbb{C}e_{-\alpha_i},K_{X_l})\simeq L(l,l;(X_l')^{-1},X_l,\Gamma).$$

Thus, we have our claim. ∎

Remark 3.26. The Cartan matrix of the pentad $P(l,l;{}^t(X_l')^{-1},X_l,\Gamma)$ is given by

$$C({}^t(X_l')^{-1},X_l,\Gamma)=\Gamma\cdot{}^tX_l\cdot{}^t(X_l')^{-1}\cdot X_l=\Gamma\cdot{}^tX_l\cdot{}^tX_l^{-1}\cdot\Gamma^{-1}\cdot X_l=X_l.$$

Using Theorem 3.9 and Lemma 3.25, we can construct an arbitrary finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebra from a pentad of Cartan type as follows.

Theorem 3.27. *Any finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebra is a PC Lie algebra with a regular and symmetric pentad of Cartan type.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an arbitrary finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebra. Then $\mathfrak{g}=Z(\mathfrak{g})\oplus[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$, where $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is the center part of $\mathfrak{g}$. Put $k=\dim Z(\mathfrak{g})$ and X_l the Cartan matrix of $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$. Then,

under the notation of proof of Lemma 3.25, we have an isomorphism of Lie algebras:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g} &\simeq \mathfrak{gl}_1^k \oplus L(X_l) \simeq \mathfrak{gl}_1^k \oplus L\left(\mathfrak{h}, \mathrm{ad}, \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\psi} \mathbb{C}e_\alpha, \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\psi} \mathbb{C}e_{-\alpha}, K_{X_l}\right) \\
&\simeq L\left(\mathfrak{gl}_1^k, 0\text{-representation}, \{0\}, \{0\}, B_{I_k}\right) \oplus L\left(\mathfrak{h}, \mathrm{ad}, \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\psi} \mathbb{C}e_\alpha, \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\psi} \mathbb{C}e_{-\alpha}, K_{X_l}\right) \\
&\simeq L\left(\left(\mathfrak{gl}_1^k, 0\text{-representation}, \{0\}, \{0\}, B_{I_k}\right) \oplus \left(\mathfrak{h}, \mathrm{ad}, \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\psi} \mathbb{C}e_\alpha, \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\psi} \mathbb{C}e_{-\alpha}, K_{X_l}\right)\right) \\
&\simeq L\left(\mathfrak{gl}_1^k \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathrm{ad}, \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\psi} \mathbb{C}e_\alpha, \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\psi} \mathbb{C}e_{-\alpha}, B_{I_k} \oplus K_{X_l}\right) \qquad (3.16)
\end{aligned}$$

where B_{I_k} is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{gl}_1^k$ defined by:

$$B_{I_k}\left((c_1, \ldots, c_k), (c'_1, \ldots, c'_k)\right) = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \cdots c_k \end{pmatrix} \cdot I_k^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c'_1 \\ \vdots \\ c'_k \end{pmatrix} = c_1c'_1 + \cdots + c_kc'_k. \qquad (3.17)$$

Then, by a similar argument to the argument in proof of Lemma 3.25, we have an equivalence of symmetric standard pentads:

$$\left(\mathfrak{gl}_1^k \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathrm{ad}, \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\psi} \mathbb{C}e_\alpha, \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\psi} \mathbb{C}e_{-\alpha}, B_{I_k} \oplus K_{X_l}\right) \simeq P\left(k+l, l; \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I_k & O \\ \hline O & (X'_l)^{-1} \end{array}\right), \begin{pmatrix} O \\ \hline X_l \end{pmatrix}, \Gamma\right) \qquad (3.18)$$

whose Cartan matrix is X_l. From (3.16) and (3.18), we have an isomorphism:

$$\mathfrak{g} \simeq L\left(k+l, l; \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I_k & O \\ \hline O & (X'_l)^{-1} \end{array}\right), \begin{pmatrix} O \\ \hline X_l \end{pmatrix}, \Gamma\right). \qquad (3.19)$$

This completes the proof. ∎

Using the isomorphism (3.19), we can embed a finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebra and its finite-dimensional representation with full scalar multiplications into some contragredient Lie algebra. Recall that an irreducible finite-dimensional representation of a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra is written by its highest weight[3]. Similarly, to describe an irreducible finite-dimensional module of a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra, we can use its "lowest weight" instead of

[3]This term "highest weight" is in the sense of ordinary Lie theory (see, for example, [1, Chapter 8, §6 and §7], in particular [1, Chapter 8, §6, no.2 Lemma 2, p.118]).

its highest weight. That is, if we let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{h}$ a Cartan subalgebra, R the root system of $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$, ψ a fundamental system of R, then an arbitrary irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-module V has an element $v_\Lambda \in V$ and a linear map $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{h},\mathbb{C})$ satisfying

- $\rho(h \otimes v_\Lambda) = \Lambda(h)v_\Lambda$ for any $h \in \mathfrak{h}$,
- V is generated by $\mathbb{C}v_\Lambda$ and root spaces of α's ($\alpha \in \psi$),
- $\Lambda - \alpha$ ($\alpha \in \psi$) is not a weight of V.

Moreover, if we put $h_\alpha = 2t_\alpha/(\alpha,\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \psi$ (see proof of Lemma 3.25), then $\Lambda(h_\alpha)$ is 0 or negative integer for any $\alpha \in \psi$. Then, from Lemma 1.20, Definition 3.17 and Proposition 3.18, we have that a $\mathfrak{g}$-module V with lowest weight Λ is isomorphic to the positive extension of a $\mathfrak{h}$-module $\mathbb{C}v_\Lambda$ with respect to $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathrm{ad}, \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\psi}\mathbb{C}X_\alpha, \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\psi}\mathbb{C}X_{-\alpha}, K_\mathfrak{g})$. Using this, we have the following claim.

Theorem 3.28. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra whose Cartan matrix is X_l. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, R the root system of $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$, $\psi = \{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_l\}$ a fundamental system of R. Put $\Gamma = \mathrm{diag}(2/(\alpha_1,\alpha_1),\dots,2/(\alpha_l,\alpha_l))$, $X_l' = X_l\cdot\Gamma = \Gamma\cdot{}^tX_l$ and h_{α_i} be a root vector of $\alpha_i \in \psi$ satisfying $\alpha_i(h_{\alpha_i}) = 2$ $(i = 1,\dots,l)$. Let $\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_k \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{h},\mathbb{C})$ be linear maps which satisfy $\Lambda_j(h_{\alpha_i}) = -n_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\le 0}$ and $(\rho_{\Lambda_i}, V_{\Lambda_i})$ (respectively $(\rho^{-\Lambda_i}, V^{-\Lambda_i})$) a finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-module with lowest weight Λ_i (respectively highest weight $-\Lambda_i$). Put*

$$(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -n_{11} & \cdots & -n_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -n_{l1} & \cdots & -n_{lk} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, for any invertible symmetric square matrix $A_Z \in \mathrm{M}(k,k;\mathbb{C})$, we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
&L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho_{\Lambda_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus\rho_{\Lambda_k}, V_{\Lambda_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus V_{\Lambda_k}, V^{-\Lambda_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus V^{-\Lambda_k}, K_{X_l}) \\
&\quad\simeq L\left(l, k+l; (X_l')^{-1}, \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} X_l & -n_{11} & \cdots & -n_{1k} \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & -n_{l1} & \cdots & -n_{lk} \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \Gamma & O \\ \hline O & I_k \end{array}\right)\right), \qquad (3.20) \\
&L(\mathfrak{gl}_1^k \oplus \mathfrak{g}, (\rho_{\Lambda_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus\rho_{\Lambda_k})^\square, V_{\Lambda_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus V_{\Lambda_k}, V^{-\Lambda_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus V^{-\Lambda_k}, B_{A_Z}) \\
&\quad\simeq L\left(k+l, k+l; \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A_Z & O \\ \hline O & (X_l')^{-1} \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} O & \multicolumn{3}{c}{I_k} \\ \hline X_l & -n_{11} & \cdots & -n_{1k} \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & -n_{l1} & \cdots & -n_{lk} \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \Gamma & O \\ \hline O & I_k \end{array}\right)\right) \\
&\quad\simeq G\left(\left(\begin{array}{c|c} X_l & (\Lambda) \\ \hline {}^t(\Lambda)\cdot\Gamma^{-1} & A_Z + {}^t(\Lambda)\cdot\Gamma^{-1}\cdot X_l^{-1}\cdot(\Lambda) \end{array}\right)\right) \qquad (3.21)
\end{aligned}$$

where B_{A_Z} is a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{gl}_1^k \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ defined by:

$$B_{A_Z}((c_1,\dots,c_k,A),(c'_1,\dots,c'_k,A')) = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \cdots & c_k \end{pmatrix} \cdot A_Z^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c'_1 \\ \vdots \\ c'_k \end{pmatrix} + K_{X_l}(A,A').$$

Proof. To have the isomorphism (3.19), we can use A_Z instead of I_k. Then, our claim follows from Theorem 3.21, Lemma 3.24 and Theorem 3.27. ∎

Roughly, from the isomorphism (3.21), we can say that the research of finite-dimensional representations of finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebras with full scalar multiplications is reduced to the research of the structure theory of contragredient Lie algebras. Using Theorem 3.28 in the special case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple and $k = 1$, we can list graded Lie algebras such that a given finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra and its finite-dimensional irreducible module can be embedded.

Proposition 3.29. *We retain to use the notations in Theorem 3.28. Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple. Let $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{h},\mathbb{C})$ be a linear map such that $\Lambda(h_{\alpha_i}) = -n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ $(i = 1,\dots,l)$ and let V_Λ (respectively $V^{-\Lambda}$) an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module with lowest weight Λ (respectively highest weight $-\Lambda$). Then, a graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_n$ with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $B_\mathfrak{L}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(i) *the Lie subalgera $\mathfrak{L}_0$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}$, moreover, via this isomorphism, the canonical representation of $\mathfrak{L}_0$ on $\mathfrak{L}_1$ is isomorphic to the $\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}$-module $(\rho_\Lambda^\square, V_\Lambda)$,*

(ii) *the restriction of $B_\mathfrak{L}$ to $\mathfrak{L}_m \times \mathfrak{L}_{-m}$ is non-degenerate for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

(iii) *$\mathfrak{L}_{m+1} = [\mathfrak{L}_1, \mathfrak{L}_m]$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{-m-1} = [\mathfrak{L}_{-1}, \mathfrak{L}_{-m}]$ for any $m \geq 0$*

is isomorphic to a contragredient Lie algebra whose Cartan matrix is of the form:

$$C_s = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} & & & -n_1 \\ & X_l & & \vdots \\ & & & -n_l \\ \hline -n_1(\alpha_1,\alpha_1)/2 & \cdots & -n_l(\alpha_l,\alpha_l)/2 & s \end{array}\right) \tag{3.22}$$

where s is a complex number such that $\det C_s \neq 0$.

Proof. Using Schur's lemma, we can obtain that an arbitrary non-degenerate invariant bilinear form B on $\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ is of the form:

$$B((c,A),(c',A')) = \tilde{s}cc' + K_{X_l}(A,A') \quad (\tilde{s} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$$

up to scalar multiplication. Thus, from the assumption that V_Λ is finite-dimensional and Theorems 1.16 and 3.28, we have an isomorphism of Lie algebras:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{L} &\simeq L(\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathrm{ad}, \mathfrak{L}_1, \mathfrak{L}_{-1}, B_{\mathfrak{L}} \mid_{\mathfrak{L}_0 \times \mathfrak{L}_0}) \simeq L(\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \rho_\Lambda^\square, V_\Lambda, \mathrm{Hom}(V_\Lambda, \mathbb{C}), B) \\ &\simeq L(\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \rho_\Lambda^\square, V_\Lambda, V^{-\Lambda}, B) \\ &\simeq G\left(\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} & X_l & & \begin{matrix}-n_1\\ \vdots \\ -n_l\end{matrix} \\ \hline -n_1(\alpha_1,\alpha_1)/2 & \cdots & -n_l(\alpha_l,\alpha_l)/2 & s \end{array}\right)\right) \quad \text{for some } s.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have our claim. ∎

Example 3.30. As an application of Proposition 3.28, let us consider the natural representation of $\mathfrak{gl}_3$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_3 \simeq \mathfrak{gl}_3$ and $\rho^\square$ a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $V = \mathrm{M}(3,1;\mathbb{C})$ defined by:

$$\rho^\square((a, A) \otimes v) = av + Av$$

where $a \in \mathfrak{gl}_1$, $A \in \mathfrak{sl}_3$ and $v \in V$. A representation $\rho = \rho^\square \mid_{[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]} = \rho^\square \mid_{\mathfrak{sl}_3}$ is identified with the natural representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ canonically. If we draw the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ as:



then we have that the lowest weight Λ of ρ satisfies $\Lambda(h_{\alpha_1}) = 1$, $\Lambda(h_{\alpha_2}) = 0$. Thus, we have that a graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}$ with a bilinear form $B_{\mathfrak{L}}$ satisfying the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) in Proposition 3.29 for $(\mathfrak{gl}_3, \text{natural representation}, V)$ is isomorphic to a contragredient Lie algebra of the form:

$$G\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 \\ \hline -1 & 0 & s \end{array}\right)\right) \simeq G\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ \hline 0 & -1 & s \end{array}\right)\right) \quad (s \neq \frac{2}{3}). \tag{3.23}$$

In particular, the Lie algebra (3.23) is finite-dimensional, i.e. the Cartan matrix is of finite type, if and only if $s = 2$ or $s = 1$. When $s = 2$, the Lie algebra (3.23) is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_4$. When $s = 1$, the Lie algebra (3.23) is isomorphic to

$$G\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ \hline 0 & -1 & 1 \end{array}\right)\right) \simeq G\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ \hline 0 & -2 & 2 \end{array}\right)\right) \simeq \mathfrak{so}_7.$$

Remark 3.31. It is known that the representation $(\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \rho^\square, V)$ is a PV of parabolic type. The result in Example 3.30 is consistent with the classification of PVs of parabolic type (see [6] or [7]).

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Professor Hiroyuki Ochiai for many helpful comments. The work in this paper is supported by JST CREST.

References

[1] N. Bourbaki. Lie groups and Lie algebra. Springer, Berlin, 1989.

[2] O. Gabber, V. G. Kac. On defining relations of certain infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society. vol. 5, No. 2, (1981). 185–189.

[3] N. Jacobson. Lie algebras (Interscience tracts in pure and applied mathematics no.10). Interscience Publishers, New York, (1962).

[4] V. G. Kac. Simple irreducible graded Lie algebras of finite growth. Math. USSR-Izvestija vol. 2 (1968), No.6. 1271–1311.

[5] V. G. Kac. Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, third edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1990).

[6] H. Rubenthaler. Espaces préhomogènes de type parabolique. Lect. Math. Kyoto Univ. **14**, (1982), 189–221.

[7] H. Rubenthaler. Algèbres de Lie et espaces préhomogènes (Travaux en cours). Hermann, Paris, (1992).

[8] H. Rubenthaler. Non-parabolic prehomogeneous vector spaces and exceptional Lie algebras. Journal of Algebra 281, (2004), 366–394.

[9] H. Rubenthaler. Graded Lie algebras associated to a representation of a quadratic algebra. arXiv:1410. 0031v3 (2015).

[10] N. Sasano. Lie algebras generated by Lie modules. Kyushu Journal of Mathematics. vol. 68, No. 2 (2014), 377–403.

[11] N. Sasano. Lie algebras constructed with Lie modules and their positively and negatively graded modules. arXiv:1603. 07437v1 (2016).

[12] G. Shen. Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type (II)-positive and negative graded modules. Scientica Senia (Ser. A) 29. no.10 (1986), 1009–1019.

contragredient Lie algebras

Nagatoshi Sasano
Institute of Mathematics-for-Industry
Kyushu University
744, Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395
Japan
E-mail: n-sasano@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp